

Western Region Technical Attachment No. 91-01 January 8, 1991

FORECASTING LARGE CHANGES IN SUMMERTIME SPOKANE, WASHINGTON MINIMUMS

Greg Hooker - WSFO Seattle

Introduction

Verification statistics were supplied by Techniques Development Laboratory last winter for the 1989 summer season. These showed that the Seattle staff lost to the LFM Model Output Statistics when the WSO Spokane observed or forecast minimum differed by at least 10 degrees from the previous minimum. It was decided to investigate the cause of these large changes as a staff forecast improvement project.

Discussion

To accomplish this, a worksheet was kept in AFOS during the "summer" verification season from April through September 1990. Day shift public forecasters were encouraged to log the 18Z Spokane low, plus their forecast lows, for the next two nights. (The day shift was chosen since verifying the recent lows would provide immediate feedback for the afternoon forecast.) Space was provided for the apparent cause if the observed low changed by at least 10 degrees. A portion of the worksheet is reproduced in Table 1.

There were eight events during the summer season which met the criteria, listed in Table 2. The staff averaged 7/10 of a degree worse on the first period lows but a shade better on the third period. This paralleled their track record for all the Spokane lows on the 12Z forecast cycle.

Conclusions

- (1) A change in cloud cover appeared to be the main factor causing the rapid change in minimums. It was a factor in all eight cases compared to four where there was a significant change in air mass and two where the wind speeds differed, allowing for multiple factors. During the two events in April, in fact, it was colder during a warm advection pattern and warmer following a cold front.
- (2) The LFM MOS is usually very competitive when there are migratory short waves or changes in air mass causing large changes in temperature. The staff usually fares best during settled weather regimes with little change in air mass when a systematic MOS bias can be determined. Partly for that reason there was very little deviation from MOS. Examination of the cases, however, shows that MOS didn't do a very good job unless the change in minimum temperature matched a major change in air mass, i.e., May 7, 1990. The staff may be able to improve on MOS by giving the expected change in cloud cover more weight, assuming that it can be accurately forecast.

(3) All of these cases occurred in the early portion of the "summer" season. During the real summer, when the polar jetstream shifted well north of the state, there were no cases with large fluctuations in these minimums.

WORKSHEET FOR CHANGES IN SPOKANE MINIMUMS OF AT LEAST 10 DEGREES

Day shift public forecaster: Enter the 18Z Spokane low plus your low temperature forecast for tomorrow and the day after. Try to determine the cause if the observed 18Z low changed by at least 10 degrees. Was it mainly due to a change in cloud cover? In dew point? First or second night after a cold front? Thanks.

DATE		18Z	TMRW	3RD PD	POSSIBLE CAUSE OF CHANGE IF 10
		LOW	FCST	FCST	DEG OR MORE
4/14		46	43	43	
4'/15		35*	42	40	RN AND LOW OVC FRM MINOR S/W
•	KEPT	TEMF	• UP ON 147	H. AMS AC	TUALLY WRMR BUT DRIER ON 15TH.
	FRZLV	/L 14T	H 12Z 8600	15TH 12Z 103	300
4/16		42	43	45	
4/17		41	43	42	
4/18		43	41	42	
4/19		38	43	41	
4/20		48*	44	42	RN AND PVA CLD BND KEPT TEMP
•	UP ON	V 20TH	I. NO SGFN7	F FNTS BUT	WK CDFNT MOVD THRU ELY ON 20TH.
	FRZL	/L 20T	H 00Z 9700	12Z 9300	
4/21		46	42	44	
4/22		42	44	38	
4/23		45	41	37	
4/24		40	35	40	
4/25		36	36	35	
4/26		33	36	38	
4/27		38	38	34	
4/28		32	32	29	
4/29		30	29	34	
4/30		31	35	39	
5/1		39	44	45	
5/2		45	43	41	
5/3		44	45	48	
5/4		45	47	49	
5/5		47	50	<u>34</u>	
5/6		42	29	31	
5/7		32*	33	33	STRONG COLD ADVCTN BHND CDFNT.
•	LESS	WIND	AND CLDS 2	ND NGT AF	FROPA. FRZLVL 7TH 12Z 4900 6TH
	12Z 87	700 00	Z 11900		

TABLE 1: FORECASTER'S WORKSHEET

DATES	OBSERVED DAY 1 DAY	LOWS 1ST I 2 FOREC	PERIOI) ERRO R MOS	R 2N FORE	D PERI CASTEF	OD ER R MOS	ROR		
4/14-15/90 46	35		+8	+8		+10	+12			
CAUSE: RI AMS ACTUA 12Z 10300	I AND LOW LLY WRMR I	OVC FRM M BUT DRIER C	IINOR DN 15T	S/W K H. FR2	EPT 7 ZLVL 1	TEMP U 4TH 12	JP ON 2 8600	14TH.) 15TH		
4/19-20/90 38	48		-5	-3		-6	-6			
CAUSE: RN BUT WK CI 9300	AND PVA CI DFNT MOVD	D BND KEP THRU ELY (r temi On 201	P UP O TH. FR	N 20TI ZLVL	H. NO 20TH (SGFN' 00Z 97(F FNTS 00 12Z		
5/6-7/90	42	32		-3	-1		+2	+3		
CAUSE: ST AFT FROPA	RONG COLD . . FRZLVL 61	ADVCTN BHI TH 12Z 8700	ND CDI 7TH 0	FNT. L 0Z 1190	ESS W 00 12Z	/ND AN 4900	ID CLI	OS NGT		
5/26-27/90 42	55		-8	-7		-9	-9			
CAUSE: SO NGT OF 267 12Z 7500 5,	ME WRM ADV TH, BKN AC I /27 12Z 9600	/CTN BUT M DECK AFT 06	AIN DI Z NXT	FFERE NGT.	NCE II WND S	N CLD SAME.	CVR. 1 FRZLY	MOCLR VL 5/26		
6/5-6/90	40	51		-6	-6		-6	-6		
CAUSE: M PREV NGT.	AIN DIFFERI LGT WNDS	ENCE WAS (BOTH NGTS	CLD CV 5. FRZ	/R. M LVL 6/	80 BK 5 12Z	N AFT 7200 6	04Z, 1 5/6 12Z	MOCLR 8000		
7/2-3/90	53	42		+6	+6		+9	+9		
CAUSE: CO AND RAIN I FRZLVL 7/2	0LD ADVCTN DURG 2ND W 12Z 12800 7	BHND CDF HICH KEPT 7/3 00Z 8300	NT BU AFTN 12Z 860	T MAI TEMP 00	N FAC COOL	TOR W	/AS CI G THA	LD CVR T EVE.		
7/4-5/90	51	64		MM	MM		-9	-7		
CAUSE: NO CLD CVR A 12700	GT OF 4TH C ND WRM AD	LDS SCT-BK VCTN ABT E	N. NO QUAL.	T OF FRZL	5TH H VL 7/4	IGH O 4 12Z 1	VC. II 1300	NCR IN 7/5 12Z		
7/6-7/90	55	45		+4	+4		+5	+5		
CAUSE: NO UPR TROF. 9000	OT MUCH CH BHND SCT	G IN AMS. CLDS + LGT	ON 6TI S WND	H BKN S. FRZ	-OVC V LVL 7	WND 18 /6 12Z	5-20 K 9600	FS AHD 7/7 12Z		
AVERAGE ERROR AVERAGE ERROR	FOR CHANG	ES >9 DEG DRECASTS		5.7 2.2	5.0 2.0		7.0 2.8	7.1 2.9		
TABLE 2: CASES WITH CHANGE IN SPOKANE LOW OF AT LEAST 10 DEG.										