RFC Verification Workshop Evaluation

participants. Below are the results and comments f	from 18 participants.
The survey was filled out at the end of the worksho	op by the

WORKSHOP CONTENT (Circle your response to each item.)

1. I was well informed about the objectives of this workshop

Answe	ers:		1	6	11	
U	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree				Strongly agree		

Comments:

Better than most workshops

2. This workshop lived up to my expectations.

Answe	ers:		2	7	9	
U	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree				Strongly agre		

Comments:

Needed more time on more of the topics, or less topics.

I learned a lot. Very impressed with both IVP and EVS. Good example case studies.

I wanted to see how verification would evaluate each step of the river forecasting process to pinpoint where the errors occur if the river forecast is poor.

3. The content is relevant to my job.

Answei	rs:		1	4	12
U	1	2	3	4	5
;	Strongly disag	ree		9	Strongly agree

Comments:

A few presentations didn't seem to fit in.

WORKSHOP DESIGN 4. The workshop object	_		o each	item.)
Strongly disagree U 1	2	3	4	Strongly agree 5
Answers:		3	7	8
Comments: I'm still a little bit unfarexperience.	niliar with st	atistics term	iinology	and need more
5. The workshop activi	ities stimula	ted my unde	erstand	ding and learning.
Strongly disagree U 1	2	3	4	Strongly agree 5
Answers:		2	6	10
Comments: IVP exercises seemed re WORKSHOP PRESEN 6. The presentors were	I TORS (Circ	-	onse t	o each item.)
Strongly disagree U 1	2	3	4	Strongly agree 5
Answers:	_	Ü	5	13
Comments: The IVP lab instructions More time on labs. All very outstanding. Both Hank Herr and Jan 7. The presentations w	nes Brown de		. medal:	
Strongly disagree U 1	2	3	4	Strongly agree 5
Answers:		2	7	9

Comments: More examples of	concepts/metr	rics.		
WORKSHOP RES		-		
Strongly disa	gree 2	3	4	Strongly agree 5
Answers:		3	8	7
To get a basic bac I really wasn't sure Felt overwhelmed understanding of a Exceeded.	e what to expe at times, so I'r all the metrics.	ct. n not sure I i		
9. I will be able tStrongly disa		learned in tr	·	ρ. Strongly agree
U 1	2	3	4	5
Answers:		2	8	8
Comments: Will require more of Provided I don't for Need follow-up. I hope so. I'm very hopeful.	•	time the sof	tware is deli	vered.
10. How would yo				

__Reduce the content covered in the workshop.

__Update the content covered in the workshop.

_Increase the content covered in the workshop.

2_	Improve the presentation/instructional skills and methods.
1_	_Make workshop activities more stimulating.
	_Improve workshop organization.
1_	_Make the workshop less difficult.
	_Make the workshop more difficult.
5_	_Slow down the pace of the workshop.
	_Speed up the pace of the workshop.
7_	_Allot more time for the workshop.
	_Shorten the time for the workshop.
2_	_Add more visualization to the workshop.
5	Provide beverages and snacks.

11. What other improvements would you recommend in this workshop?

Excellent workshop, you need to do this again.

Would like to see it every year.

Labs could have been a little bit simpler and more tested in advance. Longer exercises.

A lot more time using the software.

More hands-on lab exercises (2).

Make sure each group has a "sort of expert" on it, otherwise some groups end up all being newbie's.

Maybe stretch to Friday morning to be less rushed.

I would like to see short learning modules that just cover the various metrics/measures and some very basic information on how to interpret each metric.

More discussions and evaluation of case studies.

More time on scientific principles, less on general concepts (not to say general topics are not useful).

Infer examples on metrics.

Provide quiz on metrics to ensure there is an understanding of concepts.

Provide copies of presentations in advance.

Handouts for all presentations, and in color as needed (some graphics are essentially useless in black and white and xeroxed).

Provide larger room (4); difficult to see screen at times (2). IT issues need to be well tested prior to workshop. Better keyboard and mouse.

12. What is least valuable about this workshop?

A few of the presentations seemed out of place.

Need more time to expand on some subjects.

The amount of technical explanations. Make it short and to the point.

Will not be able to use the software tools at the WFO.

13. What is most valuable about this workshop?

Everything was worthwhile. Would be grossly unfair to apply a least to anything.

All as introduction (on verification).

Presentations, but especially the discussions.

Discussions about concepts and new tools.

I found some of the discussions very exciting and interesting.

The discussions on how verification is going to help improve the river forecasts.

Stimulating ideas of ways to verify forecasts at the office.

Exposure to new statistical methods and their application.

Basic explanation of statistical concepts and the provided glossary.

The more basic explanations are great to start with (glossary, etc.). Could use a lot more basic info since not everyone has a real background in statistics.

Exercises.

Hands on with software.

Seeing the authors of software and interacting with them.

Hope for the future!