## NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE OFFICE of HYDROLOGIC DEVELOPMENT

## **PROJECT PLAN**

National Weather Service River Forecast System (NWSRFS) Reservoir Tools Enhancement

Version 3.5

# **Revision History**

Date	Version	Description	Author
12/08/2006	2.1	Initial version for OHD review and distribution.	S. Malers (RTi)
12/18/2006	2.2	OHD comments	K Hsu/J. Gofus (OHD)
12/22/2006	2.3	Accepted OHD comments with clarifications where requested. Updated to conform to the current Project Plan template format.	S. Malers (RTi)
01/10/2007	2.4	Accepted HOSIP Admin changes and updated based on conference call on 01/04/2007.	S. Malers (RTi)
01/11/2007	2.4.1	HOSIP QA and revisions	J. Soler (OHD)
01/16/2007	2.4.2	OHD revisions	J. Gofus /K. Hsu (OHD)
01/16/2007	2.4.3	HOSIP QA/Revisions	J. Soler (OHD)
01/23/2007	2.5	HOSIP QA/Revisions	J. Soler (OHD)
02/09/2007	2.6	Respond to Gate 2 comments.	S. Malers (RTi)
02/13/2007	2.6.1	Respond to Gate 2 comments	K. Hsu/ J. Gofus (OHD)
2/14/2007	2.6.2	HOSIP QA and Edits	J. Soler (OHD)
2/23/2007	2.6.3	Updates to Resource tables and completion estimates.	J. Soler (OHD)
3/25/2007	3.1	Stage 3 version for OHD review.	S. Malers (RTi)
3/30/2007	3.2	Respond to OHD review comments.	S. Malers (RTi)
04/04/2007	3.3	HOSIP QA review/edits	J. Soler (OHD)
04/06/2007	3.4	HOSIP final QA for Gate 3 review	J. Soler (OHD)
04/25/2007	3.5	Update based on Gate 3 meeting comments.	S. Malers (RTi)

ii

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
REVISION HISTORY	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
1.IDENTIFICATION	1
2. STAGE PLAN	1
2.1 Approach	
2.2 SCHEDULES AND MILESTONES	2
2.3 Roles, Responsibilities & Estimated Resource Requirements	1
2.3.1 RTi	1
2.3.2 Other NWS OHD Support	2
2.4 Critical Success Factors	3
2.6 RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION	3
2.7 COMPLETION ESTIMATES	5
2.8 HOSIP STAGE STATUS	5
3. APPENDICES	5
APPENDIX A – TABLE OF ACRONYMS	5
APPENDIX B - ATTACHMENTS	6

### 1. IDENTIFICATION

Project Title: National Weather Service River Forecast System (NWSRFS) Reservoir

**Tools Enhancement** 

Project-ID: NID 05-051 SON 05-001

This Stage 3 document addresses work to be completed in Stage 4.

### 2. STAGE PLAN

### 2.1 Approach

### **Stage 2 - Validation**

The work to be performed is well defined based on a previous project (Streamflow Regulation Accounting (SRA) Tools). Therefore Stage 2 efforts consist mainly of preparing the CONOPS and Project Plan documents for enhancements that have been identified. A Gate 2 meeting will be held to confirm approval of the requirements and approach.

#### Stage 3 - Research & Analysis

The requirements and initial approach presented in HOSIP Stage 2 documents focus on functionality and overall data concepts. The requirements identified in HOSIP Stage 2 will have been verified (and if necessary adjusted) during the Gate 2 review. The HOSIP Stage 3 effort will consist of reviewing existing NWSRFS code for RES-J and LOOKUP3 operations in order to determine appropriate solutions necessary to implement the required enhancements.

The current code, containing previous regulation accounting enhancements, will be obtained from OHD. RTi engineers and software developers familiar with the software will then review the code and determine software files, subroutines, classes/methods, etc. to be modified during implementation. Technical problems that may have been overlooked previously will be brought to the attention of OHD and appropriate actions taken (e.g., conducting additional discussions). After review, a list of recommendations will be presented to the OHD project leader to confirm the approach. This information will then be incorporated into HOSIP Stage 3 documents for a Gate 3 review.

### Stage 4 - Design & Development

Stage 4 activities will focus on design and implementation of software features that:

- 1) enhance the LOOKUP3 operation to be able to access all parts of multi-value time series and utilize a date for lookups;
- 2) update the MAXSTAGE method in the RES-J operation to utilize the rating curve in the NWSRFS operational files via a curve identifier or rating table specified at the node, and allow a maximum discharge to be specified.

The specific requirements are documented in the CONOPS/Requirements document. The

1

Version 3.5 04/25/2007 design activities will be relatively straightforward since the problem is well understood and has been solved in some form before (see the attached document "NWSRFS Reservoir Tools Enhancement (LOOKUP3, RES-J MAXSTAGE) Solution Evaluation"). The test plan and accompanying tests, as implemented in the Operational Forecast System (OFS) and MCP3 test frameworks, will be the primary focus of development. In particular, streamflow regulation modeling often requires a longer period of data to demonstrate functionality and therefore sufficient MCP3 tests must be defined, executed, and documented. The simulation period for MCP3 test cases shall be limited to below 2 years. If longer periods are necessary for specific tests, reasons for the need will be addressed. One or more long test cases (e.g., 50 years) will be included to verify that MCP3 correctly runs a long period. Existing MCP3 test cases will be used if available; otherwise, one or more new test cases will be defined.

Test results corresponding to the OFS and MCP3 test cases will be provided during handoff to OHD. Software to be modified and tested includes FCINIT, FCST, MCP3, OPT3, ESP, and IFP. Development will utilize the lx8 NHDR machine using OB8.1 code and the OB8.1 development environment. The NWSRFS documentation will be updated to reflect changes in functionality and this documentation can be utilized by the NWS in updating training materials. The MBRFC will be the primary field tester and RTi will coordinate directly with the MBRFC to verify functionality. Testing at the MBRFC will occur using the standard OFS and MCP3 test frameworks, utilizing previously defined test cases and new test cases implemented during this project. These automated tests can help streamline verification. RTi will provide executables and test data to the MBRFC for use on a test copy of the calibration and forecast system, which will be isolated from operations. New test cases will be added by RTi if the MBRFC identifies operational conditions that are not represented in the test cases. Ideally, OB8.1 executables can be provided; however, environment details will be fully evaluated early in Stage 4. All software and tests provided to the MBRFC will also be provided to OHD. Two periods of testing at the MBRFC are proposed, followed by final acceptance testing by OHD.

#### 2.2 Schedules and Milestones

Stage 2 -Validation	Dates
Complete CONOPS	01/10/2007
Complete Project Plan	01/10/2007
HOSIP Gate 2	02/07/2007
Stage 3 - Research & Analysis	Dates
Complete CONOPS	02/21/2007
Complete Project Plan	02/21/2007
Research Results – Evaluation of Solution	02/21/2007
HOSIP Gate 3	04/18/2007
Stage 4 - Design & Development	Dates
Draft Design Document	05/09/2007
Draft Test Plan and Procedures Document	05/09/2007
Final Design Document	05/23/2007
Final Test Plan and Procedures Document	06/20/2007

Updated Software Documentation	06/20/2007
1 <sup>st</sup> Software and Testing at MBRFC (RTi will work with the MBRFC before	06/20/2007 -
this date to ensure that the testing framework is in place)	07/11/2007
Revisions to Software, Documentation, Test Plan and Results at RTi	07/11/2007 -
	07/25/2007
Final Software and Testing at MBRFC (if necessary)	07/25/2007 -
	08/15/2007
Final Software, Test, and Test Results Delivery to OHD	08/22/2007
Integration Testing Support for OHD	08/22/2007 -
	09/05/2007
HOSIP Gate 4 Meeting	10/10/2007

## 2.3 Roles, Responsibilities & Estimated Resource Requirements

## 2.3.1 RTi

Role/Name	Responsibility	Hours	Dollars
	Stogo 2 Validation		(\$) (1)
RTi Principal in Charge, Jay	Stage 2 - Validation  Monitor project delivery, and also		
Day	provide insight on technical work.	8	680
RTi Task Manager and System	Coordinate the task's completion and as	8	000
Architect, Steve Malers	System Architect will coordinate		
7 Hemicet, Steve Waters	software evaluation and prepare HOSIP		
	documents.	16	1615
RTi Project Engineers and	Provide insight into the current		
scientists:	NWSRFS software design during the		
Mark Woodbury,	evaluation, and participate in the	8	
Shaun Carney	HOSIP Gate 2 meeting, as needed.	8	1730
	Total	RTi: 40	\$4025
S	Stage 3 - Research & Analysis		
RTi Principal in Charge, Jay	Monitor project delivery, and also		
Day	provide insight on technical work.	28	2390
RTi Program Manager, Saud	Coordinate, monitor and report within		
Amer	the terms of the AHPS contract.	1	115
RTi Task Manager and System	Coordinate the task's completion and as		
Architect, Steve Malers	System Architect will coordinate		
	software evaluation and prepare HOSIP		
	documents.	40	4326
RTi Project Engineers and	Provide insight into the current		
scientists:	NWSRFS software design during the		
Mark Woodbury,	evaluation, provide recommendations	30	
James VanShaar	for the new design, and participate in	26	
Shaun Carney	the HOSIP Gate 3 meeting, as needed.	16	7270
Administrative Support, Laura	Help prepare HOSIP documents and		
Baker	provide administrative support.	8	680
	Total	RTi: 149	\$14,781
	age 4 - Design & Development		
RTi Principal in Charge, Jay	Monitor project delivery, and also		
Day	provide insight on technical work.	8	680
RTi Program Manager, Saud	Coordinate, monitor and report within		
Amer	the terms of the AHPS contract.	6	690
RTi Task Manager and System	Coordinate the task's completion and as		
Architect, Steve Malers	System Architect will coordinate		
	software enhancement and testing,		
	prepare HOSIP documents, and		
	coordinate software documentation		
	updates. Utilize NWSRFS experience		
	to complete technical tasks.	176	19,000
RTi Software Engineer, Darrin	Implement software changes necessary		
Sharp	to meet the requirements (using the		

1

	NWSRFS development environment),		
	implement tests in the OFS and MCP3		
	test frameworks, and contribute to		
	HOSIP Stage 4 documents (Design,		
	Test Plan and Results, and software		
	documentation).	148	14,940
RTi Project Engineers and	Provide insight into the current		
scientists:	NWSRFS software and proposed		
Mark Woodbury,	changes, help prepare, execute, and		
James VanShaar	document OFS and MCP3 test cases,	8	
Shaun Carney	and participate in the HOSIP Gate 4	40	
	meeting, as needed.	96	14,540
Administrative Support, Laura	Help prepare documents and provide		
Baker	administrative support.	8	680
	Total	490	\$50,540

<sup>(1)</sup> The project is fixed fee and the contractor is compensated by deliverable. Therefore, contractor costs shown are estimates, and actual hours are shown as the same as estimated.

## 2.3.2 Other NWS OHD Support

Role/Name	Responsibility	Hours	Dollars
			(\$)
Stage	2 - Concept Exploration & Definition		
NWS OHD Project Area Lead,	Provide general oversight of the project		
Joe Gofus		8	923
NWS OHD Project Leader,	Review RTi deliverables and provide		
Kuang Hsu	oversight of, and guidance to RTi	16	1616
HOSIP Admin, Jose Soler	Review and QA HOSIP documents and		
	facilitate the HOSIP process	4	340
HSEB Chief, Jon Roe	Gate 2 Keeper	2	230
	Total	30	\$3109

Stage 3 - Research & Analysis					
NWS OHD Project Area Lead,	Provide general oversight of the project				
Joe Gofus		8	923		
NWS OHD Project Leader,	Review RTi deliverables and provide		1616		
Kuang Hsu	oversight of, and guidance to RTi	16			
HOSIP Admin, Jose Soler	Review and QA HOSIP documents and		1024		
	facilitate the HOSIP process	12			
Senior Scientist Pedro	Gate 3 keeper				
Restrepo,,		2	230		
HSEB Chief, Jon Roe	Reviews HOSIP Documentation	2	230		
	Total	40	\$4023		
Sta	Stage 4 – Operational Development				
NWS OHD Project Area Lead,	Provide general oversight of the project				
Joe Gofus		30	3461		
NWS OHD Project Leader, Review RTi deliverables and provide		160	16,160		

Kuang Hsu	oversight of, and guidance to RTi		
NWS OHD DSA, Gautam Sood,	System related software support		
Hank Herr		20	2020
MBRFC, Tom Gurss	Review and test RTi deliverables and		
	provide guidance to OHD and RTi	72	7786
NWS OHD, Mike Smith	Provide scientific assistance and guidance		
	on the SAC-SMA Model		1730
HOSIP Admin, Jose Soler	Review and QA HOSIP documents and		
	facilitate the HOSIP process		340
	Total	302	\$31,497

## 2.4 Critical Success Factors

No.		Stages:	2	3	4	All
1	Clearly identifying requirements based on use	er feedback.	X			
2	Acquiring access to current software that refleregulation software changes.	ects previous		X	X	
3	Fully identifying code components and techni relevant to the enhancements.	cal issues		X	X	
4	Clearly documenting the approach in HOSIP Stage 3 and 4 documents.			X	X	
5	Determining a design and implementing specific code changes to meet the requirements.				X	
6	Implementing appropriate tests utilizing the NWSRFS development environment.				X	
7	Providing updated documentation and examples that allow users to take advantage of new features, and training materials to be updated.				X	
8	Providing tests and assisting in code delivery to minimize the effort spent by OHD staff in integrating the new features.				X	
9	Providing tests and software to MBRFC resul field-testing, prior to AWIPS integration.	ting in successful			X	

## 2.6 Risk Assessment and Mitigation

No.	Risk	Mitigation Plan
1	Project schedule	Timely reviews of all HOSIP-related documentation, and
	overruns.	addressing high-risk work activities first. In order to more
		efficiently utilize resources, RTi has added Darrin Sharp to the
		team to allow Darrin to focus on development environment and
		technical tasks while others on the team focus on HOSIP
		documentation and assisting with testing.
2	Incomplete or outdated	RTi will work with OHD project management to ensure that the
	code and	development environment supports this task.

3

No.	Risk	Mitigation Plan
	documentation. Uncertainties of source versions.	
3	Evolving requirements leading to new technical challenges.	The enhancements that have been identified are relatively straightforward. The HOSIP process will allow for stakeholder participation and document new requirements (if any). Requirements different from those addressed in the fixed-price scope will be discussed with OHD project management to determine whether adjustments to the project are necessary. An additional requirement was identified in Stage 2 (need for LOOKUP3 to utilize Julian day of year). Because this is a fixed price task, this additional requirement will be evaluated during Stage 3 and Stage 4 design but will only be implemented if other scoped activities can be completed first within the original resources. OHD management will be kept abreast of the impact of the new requirement so that additional decisions can be made during development.
4	Raytheon AWIPS Configuration Management (CM) process may require more resources.	The HSEB PAL, Joe Gofus, will coordinate with contractor regarding AWIPS process and provide RTi the necessary information needed for AWIPS. RTi is also gaining experience with the CM process on the ICP replacement project.
5	Software enhancements and tests may not be compatible with OHD development environment and OHD expectations.	RTi has dedicated resources on the team (Darrin Sharp) to understand and utilize the current NWSRFS development environment. This will allow software and test delivery to be consistent with OHD expectations. It is likely that close coordination with the OHD development system administrator (Gautam Sood) will be required to verify current development environment guidelines and procedures. RTi will also coordinate with the OHD Project Leader to coordinate software development and test implementation. RTi would prefer to provide one or more interim software and test case deliverables in order to confirm that the approach is consistent with OHD expectations, before final delivery.
6	RFC software requirements are not met in delivered software enhancements.	Requirements have been documented in the CONOPS and have been reviewed by the MBRFC, which will be a key user of the enhanced software. RTi will work with the MBRFC to confirm that the software meets the requirements in the CONOPS through testing at the RFC.
7	Software developed in the OHD development environment for OB8.1 is not compatible with the system installed at the MBRFC, resulting in technical issues in testing at the MBRFC.	RTi will work with the MBRFC to perform field testing prior to final delivery to OHD. The MBRFC is at OB7.2 whereas development is occurring at OB8.1. Ideally the OB8.1 executables can be run on an OB7.2 test system at the MBRFC (because changes between 7.2 and 8.1 were minor). This will also allow the previous Phase I SRA enhancements related to this task (now included in OB8.1) to be considered during testing. The final details of testing will be determined early in Stage 4 to identify risks and resolve testing issues. The MBRFC will be provided with executables, OFS, and MCP3 test cases and will be able to

No.	Risk	Mitigation Plan		
		perform additional testing using the provided executables. The		
	MBRFC will need to provide support to configure a test area			
	separate from the operational system, which can utilize the new			
		executables and OFS/MCP3 test frameworks.		

### 2.7 Completion Estimates

Resources	Operations and maintenance support for this enhancement will be provided by the Application Support and Maintenance (ASM) organization of the AWIPS contractor. Maintenance for this enhancement is estimated to require about 4 staff-hours per year.	
Estimated Funding Requirement	\$600	
<b>Completion Date</b>	06/06/2007	

## 2.8 HOSIP Stage Status

	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3
Planned Completion Date	11/02/2005	12/13/2006	03/28/2007
Actual Completion Date	11/02/2005	03/02/2007	
Comments	Approved	Approved	

## 3. Appendices

## Appendix A – Table of Acronyms

AHPS Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Service ASM Application Support and Maintenance

AWIPS Advanced Weather Interactive Processing System

ESP Ensemble Streamflow Prediction

FCEXEC Forecast Execute

FCINIT Forecast Component Initialization Program

FCST Forecast Program

IFP Interactive Forecast Program

MBRFC Missouri Basin River Forecast Center

MCP3 Manual Calibration Program NWS National Weather Service

NWSRFS National Weather Service River Forecast System

OHD Office of Hydrologic Development
OPT3 Automated Optimization Program
RES-J Joint Reservoir Regulation operation

RFCs River Forecast Centers RTi Riverside Technology, inc.

SRA Streamflow Regulation Accounting

## Appendix B - Attachments

NWSRFS Reservoir Tools Enhancement (LOOKUP3, RES-J MAXSTAGE) Solution Evaluation (see separate attachment)

6