Toward Modeling of River-Estuary-Ocean Interactions to Enhance Operational River Forecasting in the NOAA National Weather Service

> Hassan Mashriqui Seann Reed Cecile Aschwanden

Office of Hydrologic Development (OHD) NOAA's National Weather Service (NWS)

OHD Seminar August 25, 2010, Silver Spring, MD

Acknowledgements

- Meteorological Development Laboratory (MDL) - Extra-tropical Storm Surge
- Chesapeake Bay Operational Forecast System (CBOFS) - Coastal Survey Development Lab (CSDL)
- Chesapeake Bay Inundation Prediction System (CIPS) - Virginia Institute of Marine Science
- FEMA Region 3

Outline

- Motivation
- Objectives
- Unsteady HEC-RAS model
- Calibration/validation
- Boundary conditions for operational forecasts
- Interplay between freshwater flow and tides
- Dynamically linking 1D, 2D, and 3D models
- Summary

River-Estuary-Ocean Modeling Supports CERIS

NOAA's Coast, Estuary, River Information Services (CERIS): Provide water information for coastal communities to assist with hazard mitigation, water resources management, and ecosystem management.

CERIS Themes

- Link NOAA freshwater and saltwater models
- Expand services to coastal watersheds without existing freshwater forecasts
- Coordinate delivery and dissemination of freshwater products and services

Service "gap" Areas and the Tidal Potomac River Study Location



Tidal Potomac River HEC-RAS Domain





Unsteady HEC-RAS Model Development

Topographic and Bathymetric Data:

1. A geo-referenced HEC-RAS model of the Potomac River developed for FEMA Region 3.

2. An ADCIRC model developed by NOS.

3. New HEC-RAS cross sections were developed and added to the FEMA model using NOS and USGS data.



Model Calibration and Validation

Calibration for:

- 1. Harmonic Tide
- 2. Observed Stage and Discharge Time Series
- 3. Historic Flood Events
- 4. Hurricane Surge



Model Calibration and Validation



"forecast" Mode

Two Sources for the Downstream Boundary Condition

1. ET Surge (MDL/NWS) 2. CBOFS (COOPS/CSDL/NOS)

Model Hindcast in "Forecast" Mode -Stage at Wisconsin Avenue



Importance of Tide Simulation in the Tidal Potomac River



Rev Sept 2006 # 13

Stage-Discharge Curves at Wisconsin Avenue for a Set of Constant Tides (-3 ft to +3 ft MSL) at Lewisetta



Stage-Discharge Curves at Wisconsin Avenue and Washington Waterfront (~ 3 Mile Apart) for a Set of Constant Tides (-3 ft to +3 ft MSL) at Lewisetta



15

Dynamically Linking 1D, 2D, and 3D models

- Model theory
- Model geometry
- Model capabilities

1D models (Such as SOBEK, HEC-RAS) solve (St. Venant equations)

(1) Continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = q_{\text{lat}}$$

In which A_t is the total cross-section area; Q_{lat} is the lateral discharge per unit length; Q is the discharge

(2) Momentum equation

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\alpha_B \frac{Q^2}{A_f} \right) + g A_f \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{g Q |Q|}{C^2 R A_f} - W_f \frac{\tau_{wi}}{\rho_w} + g A_f (\eta + \xi Q |Q|) + \frac{g}{\rho_w} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} A_{1m} = 0$$

Where B is the boussinesq constant; A_f is the cross-section flow area; h is the water level; C is the Chézy coefficient; R is the hydraulic radius; W_f is the flow width, W_i is the wind shear stress; w is the water density; A_{1m} is the first order moment cross-section.

3D models

Primitive Equations of Fluid Motions

(Navier–Stokes equations)

The primitive equations in Cartesian coordinates are shown here. The momentum balance in the x- and y-directions are:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla u - fv = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\overline{u'w'} - \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \mathcal{F}_u + \mathcal{D}_u \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla v + fu = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\overline{v'w'} - \nu \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) + \mathcal{F}_v + \mathcal{D}_v \tag{2}$$

The time evolution of a scalar concentration field, C(x, y, z, t) (e.g. salinity, temperature, or nutrients), is governed by the advective-diffusive equation:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla C = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\overline{C'w'} - \nu_{\theta} \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right) + \mathcal{F}_C + \mathcal{D}_C \tag{3}$$

The equation of state is given by:

$$\rho = \rho(T, S, P) \tag{4}$$

Model Geometry Comparisons



Detailed Backwater



1D model - HEC-RAS Detailed Cross Sections



1D model - HEC-RAS Detailed Bridge Sections



NOS 2D/3D models

- ADCIRC VDatum
- The Chesapeake Bay Operational Forecast System (CBOFS)
- Larger estuarine and ocean domain
- Better physical representation
- Detailed 3D model output



CBOFS2 and ADCIRC model domain



Layered Velocity -CBOFS2

From Hurricane Isabel



Layered Salinity - CBOFS2 From Hurricane Isabel



CBOFS2 From Hurricane Isabel



Hurricane Isabel 2003

Comparing HEC-RAS (1D) with CIPS (ELCIRC -2D)

HEC-RAS & CIPS Lewisetta, VA.



HEC-RAS & CIPS Alexandria, Va.



HEC-RAS & CIPS Washington DC, SW (CO-OPS)







Hurricane Isabel 2003

Storm Surge Computed by HEC-RAS (1D) is lower than CIPS (ELCIRC -2D)

HEC-RAS & CIPS Flow near Alexandria, Va.



Summary

- HEC-RAS results were within 6-12 inch of the predicted tide and within 1-2 feet of the observed hurricane surge and historic flood stages.
- In forecast mode, HEC-RAS models driven by ET Surge or CBOFS forecasts are within 6 – 12 inches of observations at Wisconsin Ave.
- HEC-RAS model accuracy becomes more dependent on estuary-ocean model boundary accuracy at points farther downstream and for events with lower freshwater flows.
- Tide/surge simulation is important to get backwater correct.
- 2D/3D models better physics,, 2D/3D output
- CIPS has <u>better transport than HEC-RAS with WL</u> <u>Boundary</u>

Thank you