NOAA Technical Report NWS 35



# Pertinent Meteorological Data for Hurricane Allen of 1980

Silver Spring, Md. September 1983

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service

#### NOAA TECHNICAL REPORTS

#### National Weather Service Series

The National Weather Service (NWS) observes and measures atmospheric phenomena; develops and distributes forecasts of weather conditions and warnings of adverse weather; collects and disseminates weather information to meet the needs of the public and specialized users. The NWS develops the national meteorological service system and improves procedures, techniques, and dissemination for weather and hydrologic measurements, and forecasts.

NWS series of NOAA Technical Reports is a continuation of the former series, ESSA Technical Report Weather Bureau (WB).

Reports listed below are available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Sills Bldg., 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Prices vary. Order by accession number (given in parentheses).

#### ESSA Technical Reports

- WB 1 Monthly Mean 100-, 50-, 30-, and 10-Millibar Charts January 1964 through December 1965 of the IQSY Period. Staff, Upper Air Branch, National Meteorological Center, February 1967, 7 p, 96 charts. (AD 651 101)
- WB 2 Weekly Synoptic Analyses, 5-, 2-, and 0.4-Mb Surfaces for 1964 (based on observations of the Meteorological Rocket Network during the IQSY). Staff, Upper Air Branch, National Meteorological Center, April 1967, 16 p, 160 charts. (AD 652 696)
- WB 3 Weekly Synoptic Analyses, 5-, 2-, and 0.4-Mb Surfaces for 1965 (based on observations of the Meteorological Rocket Network during the IQSY). Staff, Upper Air Branch, National Meteorological Center, August 1967, 173 p. (AD 662 053)
- WB 4 The March-May 1965 Floods in the Upper Mississippi, Missouri, and Red River of the North Basins. J. L. H. Paulhus and E. R. Nelson, Office of Hydrology, August 1967, 100 p.
- WB 5 Climatological Probabilities of Precipitation for the Conterminous United States. Donald L. Jorgensen, Techniques Development Laboratory, December 1967, 60 p.
- WB 6 Climatology of Atlantic Tropical Storms and Hurricanes. M. A. Alaka, Techniques Development Laboratory, May 1968, 18 p.
- WB 7 Frequency and Areal Distributions of Tropical Storm Rainfall in the United States Coastal Region on the Gulf of Mexico. Hugo V. Goodyear, Office of Hydrology, July 1968, 33 p.
- WB 8 Critical Fire Weather Patterns in the Conterminous United States. Mark J. Schroeder, Weather Bureau, January 1969, 31 p.
- WB 9 Weekly Synoptic Analyses, 5-, 2-, and 0.4-Mb Surfaces for 1966 (based on meteorological rocketsonde and high-level rawinsonde observations). Staff, Upper Air Branch, National Meteorological Center, January 1969, 169 p.
- WB 10 Hemispheric Teleconnections of Mean Circulation Anomalies at 700 Millibars. James F. O'Connor, National Meteorological Center, February 1969, 103 p.
- WB 11 Monthly Mean 100-, 50-, 30-, and 10-Millibar Charts and Standard Deviation Maps, 1966-1967. Staff, Upper Air Branch, National Meteorological Center, April 1969, 124 p.
- WB 12 Weekly Synoptic Analyses, 5-, 2-, and 0.4-Millibar Surfaces for 1967. Staff, Upper Air Branch, National Meteorological Center, January 1970, 169 p.

#### NOAA Technical Reports

- NWS 13 The March-April 1969 Snowmelt Floods in the Red River of the North, Upper Mississippi, and Missouri Basins. Joseph L. H. Paulhus, Office of Hydrology, October 1970, 92 p. (COM-71-50269)
- NWS 14 Weekly Synoptic Analyses, 5-, 2-, and 0.4-Millibar Surfaces for 1968. Staff, Upper Air Branch, National Meteorological Center, May 1971, 169 p. (COM-71-50383)
- NWS 15 Some Climatological Characteristics of Hurricanes and Tropical Storms, Gulf and East Coasts of the United States. Francis P. Ho, Richard W. Schwerdt, and Hugo V. Goodyear, May 1975, 87 p. (COM-75-11088)

(Continued on inside back cover)

NOAA Technical Report NWS 35



# Pertinent Meteorological Data for Hurricane Allen of 1980

Frances P. Ho and John F. Miller

Silver Spring, Md. September 1983

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration John V. Byrne, Administrator

National Weather Service Richard E. Hallgren, Acting Assistant Administrator

ł. ÷. ł. ł ł.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ABSTRACT	1
1. Introduction	1
2. Previous reports	1
3. Scope of report	2
4. Sources of data	2
5. General meteorological situation	4
<ul> <li>6. Analyses of meteorological parameters.</li> <li>6.1 Storm track.</li> <li>6.2 Forward speed.</li> <li>6.3 Central pressure.</li> <li>6.4 Wind analysis.</li> </ul>	7 7 12 12 17
<ul> <li>6.4.1 Analysis of observed winds at weather stations</li></ul>	17 19 27 37
7. Discussion	37
Acknowledgments References Appendix: Meteorological Data	40 40 42

# LIST OF FIGURES

Number

1.	Hurricane track, August 2-11, 1980, for Hurricane Allen	5
2.	Satellite photograph for August 8, 1980	6
3.	Hurricane track, showing positions every 6 hr from August 8 to 11, with central pressure and radius of maximum winds plotted at 12-hr intervals	8
4.	Hurricane track, showing positions, at 1-hr intervals from 1200 CST on August 9 through 0900 CST on August 10, 1980, with central pressure and radius of maximum winds plotted at 2-hr intervals	10
5.	Hurricane eye center obtained from radar weather observations, aircraft reconnaissance penetration fixes, and satellite observations, together with positions of hurricane center on the selected track	11

# $\operatorname{Number}$

Pa	ge
----	----

6.	Variation of forward speed with time, Hurricane Allen, August 8-10, 1980	13
7.	Minimum pressure recorded at land stations and by aircraft reconnaissance during Hurricane Allen for period 1200-2300 CST on August 9, 1980	14
8.	Variation of central pressure with time, Hurricane Allen, August 8-10, 1980	15
9.	Hourly observations of sea-level pressure recorded at Brownsville, Texas and distance of Allen's center from station for period 1200 CST on August 8 through 2400 CST on August 10	16
10.	Same as figure 9, for Kingsville, Texas	17
11.	Hourly observations of wind speed and distance of Allen's center from Brownsville, Texas for period 1200 CST on August 8 through 2400 CST on August 10, 1980	18
12a.	Streamline analysis, 1200 CST, August 8, 1980 are shown as dashed lines	20
126.	Streamline analysis, 1800 CST, August 8, 1980, as dashed lines21	
12c.	Streamline analysis, 0000 CST August 9, 1980 as dashed lines	22
12d.	Streamline analysis, 0600 CST, August 9, 1980 as dashed lines	23
12e.	Streamline analysis, 1200 CST, August 9, 1980 as dashed lines	24
12f.	Streamline analysis, 1800 CST, August 9, 1980 as dashed lines	25
12g.	Streamline analysis, 0000 CST, August 10, 1980 as dashed lines	26
13.	An example of composite map of flight-level (2500 m) winds for period 1745 to 1900 CST, August 9, 1980	28
14.	Radial profile of flight-level (2500 m) winds recorded during period 1200-1500 CST, August 9, 1980	29
15.	Radial profile of flight-level (2500 m) winds recorded during period 1500-1800 CST, August 9, 1980	30
16.	Radial profile of flight-level (2500 m) winds recorded during period 0500-0800 CST, August 10, 1980	31
17.	Brownsville, Texas radarscope photograph taken at 0430 CST August 9, 1980, showing Allen's well-defined concentric eye structure	32

# Numbe r

18.	Eye radii obtained from Brownsville, Texas radarscope for period 0300-2200 CST, August 9, 1980. Upper curve shows radial distance of outer eye from Allen's center. Lower curve shows radial distance of outside perimeter of inner eye wall from the center	34
19.	Radial profiles of surface winds constructed from observations taken at Brownsville, Texas and other stations for periods 0600-2100 CST on August 9 (upper curve) and 092200-100800 CST, August 1980, (lower curve)	35
20.	Variation of radius of primary (solid line) and secondary (dashed line) wind maxima with time, Hurricane Allen, September 8-10, 1980	36
21.	Composite map of flight-level (990 m) winds observed in Hurricane Beulah during period 1230-1800 CST, September 19, 1967	39
	TABLES	
1.	Location of storm center, central pressure, and storm size at the surface, Hurricane Allen, August 8–10, 1980	3
2.	Central pressure of hurricanes and typhoons near the time when concentric eye walls were observed	38
A.l.	Sea-level pressure and wind data from regularly reporting stations	44
A.2.	Radar eye positions reported by NWS stations	64
A.3.	Pertinent data extracted from reconnaissance flight reports	65
A.4.	Sea-level pressure and wind data from ship reports	66

·

4

#### PERTINENT METEOROLOGICAL DATA FOR HURRICANE ALLEN OF 1980

Francis P. Ho and John F. Miller National Weather Service, NOAA Silver Spring, Maryland

**ABSTRACT** All available meteorological data for Hurricane Allen, 1980, have been analyzed to provide information as accurate as possible for use in dynamic storm surge models. Detailed analyses are presented of the storm track, forward speed, central pressure, and radius to maximum wind. Particular attention is given to the period surrounding landfall.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to provide information on a single storm event useful for storm surge modeling. The amount of observed data available from past hurricanes varies greatly and almost all of it requires further analysis and interpretation before it can be of use to storm surge modelers. An effort has been made to gather all the pertinent meteorological information into one report. The amount of data available for any single storm also varies during different portions of the storm's life, from various geographic regions, and from different sections of the hurricane. These data are subject to numerous uncertainties in interpretation. We have attempted to bring this information together to make a comprehensive analysis, to develop an accurate storm track, and to present timely histories of central pressure and radius of maximum winds.

Our intention is to make this report a comprehensive, authoritative source of meteorological information for storm surge modeling. We have tried to provide the quantitative information with as little ambiguity as possible. We have provided the basic data upon which our analysis is based so that the user may judge the degree of uncertainty in our analysis.

This report is the second of a series of reports on pertinent meteorological parameters useful for storm surge modeling. The previous report on Hurricane Carla was published as NOAA Technical Report NWS 32 in August 1982, (Ho and Miller 1982).

# 2. PREVIOUS REPORTS

The National Hurricane Center (NHC) of the National Weather Service (NWS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), in Miami provided a description of significant features of all Atlantic tropical storms that occurred during 1980, including Hurricane Allen. This information was published in the <u>Monthly Weather Review</u> (Lawrence and Pelissier 1981) and in the <u>National Summary</u> of <u>Climatic Data</u> (NHC 1980). Important features mentioned in regard to Allen were the minimum central pressure of record, the rapid deepening, and the fluctuations in intensity during its life cycle. The appearance of a double eye configuration, inner and outer, was noted from a Brownsville radar picture taken when Allen was 100 nmi off the coast. Willoughby and Shoreibah (1982) described secondary wind maxima associated with concentric eye walls and the evolution of the hurricane vortex in Allen and a few other hurricanes. They described the sequence of events as reported near Allen's inner core by reconnaissance aircraft on August 5 and 8, 1980. Based on data collected in Allen and other hurricanes, they concluded that an outer maximum is frequently observed to constrict about a pre-existing eye and replace it. They suggest that the concentric eye phenomenon is most frequently observed in intense, highly symmetric systems.

The NHC publication on annual data and verification tabulation for the 1980 Atlantic tropical cyclones (Taylor and staff 1981) also includes a list of Allen's center fix positions obtained by aerial reconnaissance penetrations, satellite images, and land-based radar. The hurricane's central pressure, maximum winds, and other data observed by reconnaissance aircraft are also included in that report.

A smoothed "best" track for Allen has been given in publications previously cited, the <u>Monthly Weather Review</u> and the <u>Climatic Data, National Summary</u>. Cry et al. (1965) combined data from all available sources into a comprehensive report showing the most accurate and consistent locations for all tropical cyclones during their life cycle for the period 1871-1963. Neumann et al. (1981) have extended the period covered and revised earlier tracks where additional data have indicated they were necessary. The objective for these studies was to provide a firm climatological base, treating the tropical cyclone solely on the synoptic scale. Positions were given along the smoothed tracks at daily intervals for the earlier years and at 12-hr intervals subsequent to 1930.

## 3. SCOPE OF REPORT

Values of meteorological data pertinent for storm surge models are presented in tabular and graphical form in this report. The time period covered in detail starts at 0000 CST on September 9, 1980, and ends at 1200 CST on September 10, 1980. Since we are concerned with storm surge and not with a comprehensive look at the 3-dimensional structure of tropical storms, the data presented are limited to surface observations. Reconnaissance aircraft data and other upper air data are used to determine surface parameters such as track, central pressure, size, winds, etc. A brief history of the storm is provided from its development stage as a tropical depression some 200 nmi east of Barbados until it finally dissipated in northern Mexico on September 11. Detailed analyses were made for the period most important for storm surge generation along the Gulf coast of the United States. For this period, data were analyzed to provide a time history of central pressure, radius of maximum winds, and forward This information is tabulated and presented in table 1 at 3-hr intervals speed. for September 8 and part of September 9 and 10 and at 1-hr intervals for the more crucial time of September 9 and 10.

# 4. SOURCES OF DATA

The reports discussed in section 2 were used to the maximum extent possible in this investigation. To insure accuracy and completeness of this report and to enable us to provide more detailed information on track position, speed, central pressure, etc., original records were carefully examined. This permitted us to provide the most comprehensive and detailed analysis for this storm of meteorological factors important for storm surge modeling.

- <u></u>					Central Radius of maximum winds			nds	
Time	La	t.	Lon	g.	pressure	(Nauti	cal miles)	) (Statut	e miles)
(CST)	<u>(°)</u>	(')	(°)	(')	(mb)	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
August 8, 1980	-								
0000	22	48	89	12	946	15	65	17	75
0600	23	24	90	30	960	15	65	17	75
1200	23	57	91	48	946	15	65	17	75
1800	24	28	93	00	912	10	65	12	75
August 9, 1980	-								
0000	25	00	94	15	909	10	64	12	74
0600	25	15	95	21	917	10	61	12	70
1200	25	22	96	08	922	10	55	12	63
1300	25	28	96	15	925	10	53	12	61
1400	25	34	96	17	927	10	52	12	60
1500	25	38	96	22	930	10	51	12	59
1600	25	41	96	28	931	10	49	12	56
1700	25	43	96	34	932	49	10	56	12
1800	25	46	96	39	934	48	10	55	12
1900	25	49	96	44	937	47	10	53	12
2000	25	52	96	48	940	46	10	52	12
2100	25	56	96	54	942	44	10	51	12
2200	26	01	96	59	944	43	10	49	12
2300	26	06	97	06	945	41	10	47	12
<u>August 10, 198</u>	0								
0000	26	12	97	11	946	40	10	45	12
0100	26	19	97	14	947	39	10	44	12
0200	26	24	97	18	948	38		43	
0300	26	29	97	22	948	37		43	
0400	26	36	97	31	949	37		42	-
0500	26	43	97	40	949	36	-	41	
0600	26	50	97	49	950	36		41	
1200	27	13	99	00	967	36	-	41	-
1800	27	42	99	48	990	36	-	41	-

Table 1.—Location of storm center, central pressure, and storm size at the surface, hurricane Allen, August 8-10, 1980

The basic information is obtained from the regular reporting network of weather stations operated by National Weather Service (NWS), NOAA. These reports are part of the nation's historic weather records and are maintained at the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC), National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service (NESDIS) NOAA. Additional data routinely stored in various forms are ship weather observations, radar weather observations, radiosonde records, and weather reconnaissance flight data. Ship weather observations are available on magnetic tape and radarscope photographs are on microfilm.

In addition, the meteorological data collected by research aircraft of NOAA's Research Facilities Center (RFC) were processed as computer printouts of flight data, flight-level wind information, and other meteorological information. These listings are stored on microfilm and magnetic tapes at the Hurriane Research Division of NOAA's Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory (AMOL) in Miami, Florida. This information was made available to us for this report. A detailed description of the collection of meteorological information by aircraft, including the instrumentation, its calibration, and reliabilities, was first published in the National Hurricane Research Project (NHRP) Report No. 52 A recent evaluation of in-flight calibration of the (Hawkins et al. 1962). NOAA/RFC research aircraft instruments during 1977-78 was published in a NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL RFC-6 (Merceret et al. 1980). These publications provide the most recent discussion of the calibration and instrumentation of the NOAA research aircraft.

In addition to the network of regular reporting stations, observations are taken by many private individuals and corporations for their own use. In some cases, this material is filed with NCDC as part of NOAA's Cooperative Reporting Network.

#### 5. GENERAL METEOROLOGICAL SITUATION

Hurricane Allen originated near Cape Verde Islands, off the west coast of Africa, and developed into the second most severe Atlantic hurricane in modern records. It reached tropical storm strength in the early hours of August 2 1980, and attained hurricane strength in the evening. Its central pressure dropped to 951 mb by the evening of the 3rd as the eye passed just north of Barbados and south of St. Lucia (figure 1). The hurricane continued westward into the Caribbean at about 20 kn and passed south of Puerto Rico during the evening of the 4th. Its central pressure deepened and reached 911 mb, the lowest pressure ever recorded in the eastern Caribbean, on the early morning of the 5th.

The hurricane weakened as it passed the southwest tip of Haiti late on August 5 and moved between Jamaica and Cuba on the 6th. This was the first of three strengthening-weakening cycles that are unprecedented in hurricane records. Allen continued on a west-northwesterly course, passed almost directly over the Cayman Islands, and reintensified rapidly as the circulation moved over the warm waters of the northwestern Caribbean Sea. Arriving at the Yucatan Channel on the 7th, its central pressure deepened to 899 mb, the lowest pressure ever observed in the western Caribbean and the second lowest ever recorded in the Atlantic. The central pressure was only 7 mb higher than the 892 mb recorded in the Labor Day, 1935 storm that struck the Florida Keys.

The hurricane weakened for the second time when it moved over shallow waters off the north coast of Yucatan peninsula. Its central pressure rose very rapidly, reaching 961 mb on the morning of the 8th. As the hurricane continued west-northwestward across the warm open water of the Gulf of Mexico, Allen deepened once again with a minimum pressure of 909 mb observed during the night of the 8th. Figure 2 shows a satellite photograph of Allen during the night of August 8, when it was at its minimum central pressure over the Gulf of Mexico.

As the hurricane approached the Texas coast on the 9th, its intensity weakened and the forward speed decreased. Allen held on to its west-northwesterly course until mid-day and turned northward and then northwestward. After crossing the



Figure 1.--Hurricane track, August 2-11, 1980, for Hurricane Allen.

 $\mathcal{O}$ 



Figure 2.---Satellite photograph for August 8, 1980.

southern end of Padre Island just northeast of Brownsville, Texas, Allen continued on a northwesterly direction. By early morning on the 10th, Allen moved inland at a slightly faster speed and turned gradually towards the westnorthwest. In the early afternoon, the hurricane passed just south of Laredo, Texas and moved into Mexico. On August 11, Allen was downgraded to a tropical storm and finally dissipated over the mountainous terrain of northern Mexico.

In addition to the damage from the hurricane winds and storm surge, Hurricane Allen also spawned at least a dozen tornadoes over Texas. Rainfall from Hurricane Allen did not approach the extremes of some other recent storms, such as Agnes in 1972. Still, rainfall amounts up to 20 in. fell across a wide swath over the southern parts of the state.

# 6. DETAILED METEOROLOGICAL ANALYSIS

A primary focus of this report is to analyze objectively, and in detail, those meteorological factors of hurricanes used in storm surge models. For this purpose, we decided to begin with the raw observational data in order to obtain an unbiased review of all available information. This section describes these analyses. The intent of these analyses is to yield specific values of the hurricane's central pressure, the radius of maximum winds, the direction and speed of its forward motion, and the location of its center at various time intervals. Particular attention was focused on the period just before and after the hurricane made landfall since this is the time interval most critial for storm surge computation. The basic observational data used in these analyses are given in the appendix.

## 6.1 Storm Track

Generally, the analyses of meteorological data are weighted toward synopticscale motion. The hurricane track, thus obtained, is the best estimate of the large-scale storm motion and not a precise location of the eye at discrete time intervals. Therefore, such an analysis of the large-scale motion does not precisely describe the track needed for storm modeling. Track differences of a few miles, insignificant in determining the large-scale motion, can be significant for replicating high water on the open coast and inside bays and estuaries. A surge model requires, among other factors, specific information on the precise landfall point, the time of landfall, and accurate positions at closely spaced intervals in time along the hurricane track for 24-hr prior to and after the hurricane's landfall or along the track while the hurricane is bypassing the coast.

The analysis of this report emphasizes the meteorology in greater detail during August 9-10, when Hurricane Allen was approaching and crossing the Texas coast. The final track determined for Hurricane Allen from 0000 CST August 8 through 0000 CST August 11 is shown in figure 3, with locations of the meteorological stations used in this report. The stations are either National Weather Service offices or military installations, except for Raymondsville and Port Mansfield, and regularly report to the National Weather Service. The positions of the center of the hurricane are shown at 6-hr intervals from 0000 CST August 8 to 11. The central pressure (mb) and the radius of maximum winds (st. mi) are plotted to the left of 12-hr positions.

7



Figure 3.--Hurricane track showing positions every 6 hr from August 8 to 11, with central pressure (mb) and radius of maximum winds (st.mi.) plotted at 12-hr intervals.

 $\infty$ 

Since the interest in this report is a detailed determination of the path of the hurricane immediately before and after landfall, the area nearest the coast, enclosed in the box on figure 3, is enlarged for greater clarity in figure 4. The hurricane locations at hourly intervals are indicated by open circles. The time at every other hour, together with values for the central pressure (mb) and radius of maximum winds (st. mi), are shown along the track. In addition to regularly reporting weather stations, observations by satellites, personnel of private industries, private individuals, and eyewitnesses were useful aids in determining the storm track.

Any final determination of the track and speed of forward motion of a hurricane, especially over data sparse regions, has inherent uncertainties. The selected track is finalized from a subjective analysis to account for all available information. Figure 5 is an example of the information used in our Hurricane eye positions based on radar weather observations reported analysis. from Brownsville and Corpus Christi, Texas are shown as solid dots. Aircraft reconnaissance penetration fixes are shown by triangles. Locations of the hurricane's center determined from satellite observations are given by The selected track, fitted by eye and guided by bias in center diamonds. location, is presented by the solid curve. Locations at 6-hr intervals, with the time indicated, are shown by open circles.

The data from radar fixes and aircraft penetrations are the primary resource used in determining the track and speed of forward motion of the hurricane over the open ocean. However, information obtained from satellite observation and from all ships operating in the area was considered in determining the final track and speed of motion. The information from each of these sources was carefully evaluated before a final track was selected.

The track position selected (figure 5) deviates from the average radar position line along almost the full length of the track. We chose to follow a more northerly track that relies heavily on aircraft reconnaissance fixes. Radar locations are based upon echo returns from the wall cloud. These have shown some in location relative to the pressure and wind center, our primary bias Pressure, wind, and dropsonde observations obtained by aircraft interest. penetrations are usually more accurate than radar eye fixes in determining the Center positions determined by aircraft must, of course, pressure/wind center. be evaluated in terms of possible navigational instrument error. Holliday (1966) compared reconnaissance aircraft center fixes with the best-fit radar track of the eyes of seven hurricanes obtained by coastal radars. Results of the comparison indicate that the maximum differences vary from 13 to 37 nmi in individual hurricanes.

Aircraft reconnaissance fixes near 0000 CST on the 10th (figure 5) were based on airborne radar observations. These positions seem to be biased in a similar manner as those obtained from land-based radar observations. We decided to ignore these two reconnaissance fixes at the coast and adopted a track crossing the barrier island in a north-northwesterly direction instead of a westerly direction. This is supported by wind observations at Port Mansfield, Texas, and an eyewitness report of calm winds as the eye of the hurricane passed over the station (Hagan 1982).



Figure 4.--Hurricane track showing positions at 1-hr intervals from 1200 CST on August 9 through 0900 CST on August 10, 1980, with central pressure (mb) and radius of maximum winds (st. mi.)plotted at 2-hr intervals.



Figure 5.--Hurricane eye center obtained from radar weather observations (.), aircraft reconnaissance penetration fixes ( $\Delta$ ), and satellite observations ( $\Diamond$ ) together with positions of hurricane center ( $\Theta$ )on the selected track.

#### 6.2 Forward Speed

The translation speed of the hurricane is another important ingredient in determination of the surge along the open coast and in bays and estuaries. Hourly positions were the basic building blocks for determining this forward speed of translation. First, speeds between successive hours from initial positions along the best track were determined and plotted on a time scale, and a smooth curve was drawn subjectively to minimize abrupt changes. Second, speeds from three successive hours were averaged and plotted at the mid-hour, and smooth curves drawn from these data were used to adjust the hourly locations. The new locations were examined with regard to the observed data and, if necessary, some further adjustments were made. This process was continued in an iterative fashion until the best combination between smooth forward speeds and observed eye This process helped to obtain the best possible estimate postions was obtained. of forward speed and hourly locations.

Figure 6 shows the forward speed of Allen which was unusually fast after its rapid development east of the Lesser Antilles and westward movement into the Caribbean. Its average speed stayed at about 20 kn until its center reached the north-western Caribbean Sea. The hurricane then decelerated as it moved into the Gulf of Mexico. Its forward motion slowed to a speed of about 15 kn on August 8 and to about 10 kn on the early morning hours of the 9th. There was a further distinct slowing of forward progress in the afternoon of the 9th when Allen was about 50 nmi from the Texas coast. Its center moved at an average speed of about 6 kn for a period of 12 hr (0900-2100 CST) on the 9th and then crossed the barrier inland (092100-100300 CST) at an average speed of 7 kn. After moving inland on the 10th, Allen continued slowly northwestward at about 10 kn into the mountains of northern Mexico.

## 6.3 Central Pressure

The most important factor in storm surge models is the intensity of the hurricane which is directly related to its central pressure. Figure 7 shows the finalized track of Hurricane Allen as the storm crossed the Texas coast. Also shown are minimum pressures observed at regular reporting stations and minimum pressures obtained during reconnaissance aircraft penetrations. These observations were not all obtained at the same time. Since the storm track did not cross any land station location, none of the values reported at land stations are equal to the minimum central pressure in the storm.

Figure 8 shows our analysis of the pressure information from land stations and aircraft reconnaissance flights that was used to obtain a time history of Allen's minimum pressure. The curve drawn is, in general, a curve fitted to the data by Allen deepened for the third time in its lifespan on the evening of August eye. A minimum pressure of 909 mb, observed by aircraft reconnaissance at 8th. 2358 CST on the 8th, was the lowest reading ever recorded in the western Gulf of Mexico. We considered this pressure to be the lowest that occurred in Hurricane as it approached the coast. The short time interval between central Allen pressures obtained by aircraft, combined with other information, did not indicate any lower pressure at intermediate times. As Allen continued its course westnorthwestward, approaching the Texas coast, its intensity weakened. While the hurricane's central pressure rose steadily, the characteristics of its inner core region (as indicated by its eye wall structure and maximum wind distribution) appeared to have undergone dramatic changes. We shall first look into pressure



Figure 6.—Variation of forward speed with time, Hurricane Allen, August 8-10, 1980.

changes at individual stations; discussions of other phenomena are presented in subsequent paragraphs.

Sea-level pressure recorded at coastal stations was used to examine the pressure variation during the period when Allen approached the coast and moved inland. Hourly observations of sea-level pressure recorded at Brownsville and Kingsville, Texas were plotted against time and the distances of the hurricane's center from each station at various times as determined from Allen's track (figure 3). By further examining the rate of pressure change at each station and in Allen, we assess the extent to which the pressure variations at individual stations can be related to the movement of the large-scale pressure distribution of the hurricane.

Figure 9 shows a plot of hourly observations of sea-level pressure against time recorded at Brownsville, Texas (dots) with solid lines joining the data points. The distances of the hurricane's center from the station at the time of observation are shown in circled dots and joined by the dashed lines. These curves indicate that the pressure variation at Brownsville appears to be closely related to the distance from the hurricane's center, especially when Allen was within 85 nmi of the station. The rate of pressure drop averaged about 1.7 mb per hour when Allen was within the 85-nmi range. The rate of pressure drop was comparatively small when the hurricane was farther away from the station.

Figure 10 shows similar data from Kingsville, Texas. The curves for Kingsville reveal a rapid pressure fall on the 9th when the center of the hurricane was about 100 nmi from the station. For the 8-hr period starting from 2200 CST, the



Figure 7.—Minimum pressure recorded at land stations and by aircraft reconnaissance during Hurricane Allen for period 1200-2300 CST on August 9, 1980.



# Figure 8.—Variation of central pressure with time, Hurricane Allen, August 8-10, 1980.

average drop in pressure at the station amounts to approximately 1.65 mb per hour which is almost the same as that observed at Brownsville (figure 9). It is of interest to note, as a comparison, the rate of falling central pressure in Allen during one of the rapid deepening stages in its life cycle. Allen's central pressure dropped at an average rate of 4.0 mb per hour over a 12-hr period from 0600 to 1800 CST on August 8 when Allen was located in the western Gulf of Mexico (Lawrence and Pelissier 1981). This change in intensity is another factor (among others) that influences pressure changes at individual stations. The central pressure in Hurricane Allen rose steadily prior to the time of landfall (figure 8). It was 909 mb at midnight on the 8th, 922 mb at noon on the 9th, and reached 946 mb in the next 12 hr when Allen crossed the coast. The weakening of the hurricane, as indicated by increasing central pressure with time, moderated the rate of falling pressure at land stations during the period of Allen's approach.



Figure 9.—Hourly observations of sea-level pressure recorded at Brownsville, Texas (.) and distance of Allen's center from station (C) for period 1200 CST on August 8 through 2400 CST on August 10.



## Figure 10.—Same as figure 9, for Kingsville, Texas.

# 6.4 Wind Analysis

We analyzed the wind field for Allen in two ways. We first examined the wind observations of the regular reporting land stations. Next, we did a streamline analysis of the windfields at the 6-hr intervals for the period from 0600 CST August 8 through 0000 CST August 10. This wind analysis was used to aid in the determination of the radius of maximum wind. It also provided some guidance in determining the best track.

### 6.4.1 Analysis of Observed Winds at Weather Stations

Supplemental to the minimum pressure reported at stations during hurricane passage, surface winds were reported at several weather stations operated by the National Weather Service, the military services, and other federal agencies such as Coast Guard stations and FAA operated airport facilities. We attempted to relate the variation of surface wind speed to the distance of the storm's center from the station in the same manner described for pressure variation at individual stations. Figure 11 shows a plot of the time variation of hourly wind speed recorded at Brownsville, Texas (solid curve) and the distance of the storm's center from the station (circled dots plotted at hourly intervals). The resultant magnitudes, after the storm's speed of translation was subtracted from the observed wind speed, are also shown in the diagram (dashed line). These give



# Figure 11.--Hourly observations of wind speed and distance of Allen's center from Brownsville, Texas for period 1200 CST on August 8 through 2400 CST on August 10, 1980.

the wind speeds relative to the storm's center. The observed wind directions at hourly intervals are shown by dots at the top of the diagram. The solid curve reveals that the maximum wind at Brownsville was observed at 1645 CST on the 9th when Allen was about 49 nmi to the east. A second maximum appeared at 0500 CST when the hurricane's center, moving away from the station, was located at a distance of 50 nmi. This second maximum relative to the storm's center actually occurred 2 hr earlier, and the distance from the storm's center was 35 nmi when the speed of its forward motion was subtracted from the observed wind speed (dashed line). In general, there is an inverse correlation of the wind speed and the distance from the storm's center except for winds inside the radius of maximum winds. The dashed line indicates that a small fluctuation of the wind speed occurred inside the region of wind maxima. A similar plot of hourly winds for Kingsville, Texas (diagram not shown) shows that the time variation of wind speed at Kingsville also was closely correlated with distance from the storm's center. A maximum observed wind of 60 kn occurred when the storm's center was 35 nmi south of the station.

#### 6.4.2 Streamline Analysis of Surface Charts

Since surface data were too limited and scattered to make an analysis of the located some distance off the coast, all winds when the hurricane was reconnaissance aircraft observations within intervals of several hours were combined and plotted on surface charts. In the course of penetrating the center, aerial reconnaissance recorded flight-level winds within a 100-nmi radius of the hurricane's center. No ship report was nearer than 70 nmi to the center. Surface charts at 6-hr intervals were analyzed for the period from 0600 CST 0000 CST (0600 GMT) (1800 GMT) August 8 through August 10 (figures 12a Observations at coastal stations and ship observations taken at through 12g). map time were plotted on the appropriate charts. Flight-level winds and observed minimum pressure reported by reconnaissance aircraft within 6-hr of map time were also plotted.

As a supplemental aid in the streamline analysis, the position of each observation taken in aerial reconnaissance was measured in terms of azimuth angle and radial distance relative to the hurricane's center at the time of observation. Each wind observation was then transposed to the location relative to the hurricane's center. These transposed observations are not shown in the For the purpose of illustration, examples of two transposed wind charts. observations were plotted on figure 12a. Flight-level wind of 170°/80 kn was observed at 081840 GMT when the reconnaissance aircraft was located at 23.7°N, 90.5°W. This information was plotted on the chart for 081800 GMT. We then obtained the location of the hurricane's center at the time of observation (081840 GMT) by interpolation of hourly positions given by the hurricane tracking charts (figures 3 and 4). The next step was to measure the location of the plotted observation relative to the hurricane's center at the time of observation, yielding an azimuth angle of 103° and a radial distance of 72 nmi. Using this relative location, the observation was transposed to a location relative to the hurricane's center and plotted on the chart with the wind The transposed location on the chart is just direction and speed underlined. slightly east of the location shown for the observation. Similarly, the observed wind of  $070^{\circ}/85$ kn was plotted at the location with azimuth angle of 315° and a radial distance of 61 nmi, relative to the hurricane's center.

Figures 12a through 12g show the stream analysis of winds within the hurricane's circulation at 6-hr intervals from 1200 CST (1800 GMT) on August 8 through 0000 CST (0600 GMT) on August 10. Isotach patterns are shown in dashed lines. The maximum flight-level winds reported near the eye are not shown on the charts to make room for a clear illustration of the isotach pattern near the center. These maximum flight-level winds are shown in figure 13 and listed in table A.3 of the appendix. From 1200 CST August 8 through 0000 CST August 9, the hurricane was in a rapidly deepening stage. The central pressure dropped from 946 mb to 909 mb (figure 8). A maximum flight-level wind of 145 kn was reported at 1719 CST on August 8 at a radial distance of 10 nmi. During the same period, an area of secondary wind maximum appeared on each of the three charts (figures 12a, b, and c) at a radial distance of 60-65 nmi. Winds of 80-85 kn were reported in this area throughout the 12-hr period and remained the same for



Figure 12a.--Streamline analysis, 1200 CST (1800 GMT), August 8, 1980.



Figure 12b.--Streamline analysis, 1800 CST, August 8, 1980.

21



Figure 12c.--Streamline analysis, 0000 CST, August 9, 1980.



Figure 12d.--Streamline analysis, 6000 CST, August 9, 1980.



Figure 12e.--Streamline analysis, 1200 CST, August 9, 1980.

24



Figure 12f.--Streamline analysis, 1800 CST, August 9, 1980.



Figure 12g.--Streamline analysis, 0000 CST, August 10, 1980.

the next 12 hrs through 1200 CST August 9. The radial distance of the secondary maximum from the center reduced only slightly during the latter half of the 24-hr period or from 0000 CST to 1200 CST August 9. At 1200 CST August 9, the hurricane was centered about 60 nmi off the Texas coast and wind speeds of about 100 kn were reported at radial distance of 10 nmi, as well as 55-60 nmi from the center. By 1800 CST August 9, the hurricane's center was located at about 23 nmi off the coast, and Brownsville, Texas reported winds of 38 kn from the north after experiencing maximum winds of about 45 kn during the past hour (figure 11). High winds in Allen were then recorded off the Texas coast at a radial distance of about 40 nmi from the center, but a lesser wind speed maximum was still located near the eye at a radial distance of about 10-15 nmi. As the hurricane continued to approach the coast, the observed flight-level winds near the eye decreased to 60-70 kn while the extreme winds at the outer band increased in magnitude and the area migrated inward.

#### 6.5 Radius of Maximum Winds

The size of a hurricane is commonly denoted by the distance between the lowest central pressure and the band of highest winds around the center. The radius to the maximum winds was determined from all the observations available for this storm. Three different types of observations were available. The first measure includes the maximum flight-level winds and estimated surface winds as reported by reconnaissance aircraft. The second is the radar eye diameter, also reported by reconnaissance aircraft as well as by surface observing stations. Some optical reports were used when the reconnaissance aircraft was in the eye of the storm. The third measure, useful only after the hurricane was near shore, estimates the radius from surface wind records at land stations.

recorded at one-second (1-s)Flight-level winds, intervals by the reconnaissance aircraft of the NOAA Research Flight Center were processed, and 10-s running averages of the l-s intervals are available on magnetic tape. The aircraft location for each observation was translated as a relative position to the storm center. From these records, composite maps of flight-level winds at given intervals were plotted by computer and made available to us by the Hurricane Research Division of NOAA/AMOL. Analysis of these maps yielded another measure of the radius to maximum winds.

Figure 13 is an example of a composite map of flight-level winds for the period of 1330 to 1545 CST on August 9, 1980. The wind data (in m/s) recorded at an altitude of 2368 m were plotted at translated positions relative to the storm center. The highest wind speed of approximately 100 kn along each leg of penetration of the eye was located about 10 nmi from the center. A secondary maximum of about 90 kn can be identified at about 65 nmi from the center. Similar distributions of flight-level winds can be identified in composite maps of other time periods (diagrams not shown). The map series indicates that the secondary (outer) maximum migrated inward as the inner wind maximum weakened. The evolution of this phenomenon can be illustrated by radial wind profiles constructed from flight-level wind data recorded on August 9 and 10. The selected periods covered the time when the hurricane was located about 75 nmi east-southeast of Brownsville, Texas until its center was some 40 nmi inland (6 hr after crossing the coast over the southern tip of Padre Island).

Figure 14 shows flight-level winds recorded at the 700-mb level (minimum height of 2453 m to 2510 m) between 1200 CST and 1500 CST on August 9. The data points



Figure 13.--An example of composite map of flight-level (2500 m) winds for period 1745 to 1900 CST, August 9, 1980. Numerials indicate time of observation in GMT (hours, minutes and seconds). Wind barbs denote speed in m/s.




were plotted against the radial distance from the center of the hurricane at the time of observation. A smooth envelopment curve drawn from the data points reveals that maximum winds of about 90 kn were observed at a distance of 10 nmi from the center with a secondary maxima at about 65 nmi. Similar radial wind profiles at three different levels for the previous day were constructed by Willoughby et al. (1981). However, the wind maxima on the 8th (about 110 kn) were higher than that of the 9th, while the magnitude of the secondary maxima remained about the same.

Figure 15 shows flight-level winds recorded during the period 1500 to 1800 CST on the 9th. Again, the data were plotted against the radial distance from the center of the hurricane. The smooth envelopment curve drawn from these data points indicates that the wind maximum was then about 45 nmi from the center. The inner wind maximum had become the secondary maximum and was still located at



Figure 15.--Radial profile of flight-level (2500 m) winds recorded during period 1500-1800 CST, August 9, 1980. Wind speed (in knots) are resultant winds with speed of storm's motion subtracted from observed winds.

about 10 nmi from the center. A small fluctuation of wind speed appeared 20 to 25 nmi from the center. A similar plot of flight-level winds recorded during the period of 0500 CST through 0800 CST on August 10 is shown on figure 16. There were no observations taken within 45 nmi of the hurricane's center during that time period because the reconnaissance aircraft was flying over water along the coast. We assume that the wind maximum at the flight level remained at a distance of about 45 nmi from the eye.

The radial profiles of flight-level winds described in preceding paragraphs clearly indicate that the wind maximum near the eye reduced in magnitude, while the outer maximum migrated inward and became the dominant feature in the radial





wind profile. The magnitude of the wind maxima at a radial distance of 45 nmi appeared to remain the same at the flight level, as the hurricane moved over land on August 10.

The second measure used in determining the radius of maximum winds is the radar eye diameter. This type of data is obtained from reconnaissance aircraft reports and from land based radar weather observing stations. Figure 17 is a reproduction of a Brownsville, Texas radarscope photograph taken at 0430 CST on August 9, 1980, showing Allen's well-defined concentric eye structure. Similar to other mature hurricanes, the eye of the storm is defined by a ringlike radar echo which is separated from the spiral bands of the storm. Inside this ring, the eye is clear of precipitation echoes. In the case of Allen, this eye structure shown in the radar photograph will be referred to as the "inner eye." There was also a relatively wider ring in the storm interior with little or no This echo-free area was surrounded by another ring of wall radar return. Thus, the structure of Allen's inner core appeared to have two clouds. concentric wall clouds.

The phenomenon of concentric eye structure was first described by Fortner (1958) and observed in Hurricane Donna of 1960 (Jordan and Schatze, 1961) and in Hurricane Carla of 1961 (Jordan 1966). Hoose and Colon (1970) documented a complete concentric eye cycle in Hurricane Beulah of 1967 and related the inner eye deterioration with the shift of maximum wind from the inner eye to the outer eyewall. Similar behavior was described by various authors in Typhoon Gloria of 1974 (Holliday 1977) and in Hurricanes Debbie of 1968 (Gentry 1970;



Figure 17.--Brownsville, Texas radarscope photograph taken at 0430 CST August 9, 1980, showing Allen's well-defined concentric eye structure.

Hawkins 1971; Black et al. 1972), Anita of 1977, David of 1979, and Allen of 1980 (Willoughby et al. 1981).

Our main concern in this study is the hurricane characteristics which closely describe surface wind distributions important for storm surge modeling. We looked in great detail at the variation of these parameters during the prescribed period prior to, and after, the hurricane crossed the coast. Land based radar weather observing stations report, among other data information, the diameter of the inner eye as a hurricane approaches the coast. In the case of Allen there was no significant variation with time in the diameter of the inner eye reported during the period of interest on the 9th. We further examined photographs of radar echoes taken at Brownsville, Texas during the period of 0300 CST on the 9th through 0800 CST on the 10th. From these photographs we obtained measurements of radius from the storm's center to the outer perimeter of the inner eye wall and the radius to the rim of the outer echo free area.

Figure 18 shows smooth curves joining these measurements to indicate the variation of the eye structure with time. The data points were read off radar-scope photographs, beginning at 0330 CST and ending at 2300 CST, on the There was no reading obtained for the lower curve after 2000 CST when the 9th. inner eye was completely filled. Radar pictures indicated that the filling process began at about 1430 CST when openings of the inner eye wall appeared to These openings, which reflected the dissipation of convective the southwest. clouds in that quadrant, occurred during the period when flight-level winds recorded by reconnaissance aircraft (1500-1800 CST) were decreasing in magnitude near the inner eye wall (figure 15). The lower curve in figure 18, showing the variation of the radius to the outer perimeter of the inner eye wall, reveals a rapid increase in radius during the 2-hr period of 1230 to 1430 CST on the 9th. radius indicated an outward expansion of convective This increase in precipitation in the inner eye wall prior to the filling of the inner eye. The upper curve in figure 18 shows the variation of radius from Allen's center location to the rim of the outer echo-free area with time. This curve shows a general trend of decreasing radius in the first 12 hr followed by short period oscillations and a rapid decrease in magnitude at around 2100 CST on the 9th. The general trend of decreasing radius occurred in the same time period that the secondary wind maximum migrated inward from 65 nmi of the center. The rapid decrease in radius occurred when Hurricane Allen was about 10 nmi from the coast. Although no specified relation between the radius of the outer echo-free area and the radius to wind maxima is considered in this report, we speculate that they will tend to either increase or decrease together.

The third measure used in determining the radius of maximum winds came from surface winds recorded at land stations. These can be illustrated by radial wind profiles constructed from surface wind records. Figure 19 shows radial wind profiles for the time periods when Allen's center was approaching the coast and when it was moving over land. The wind data plotted on the diagram were resultant wind speeds after the hurricane's speed of translation was subtracted from the observed wind speed. The upper diagram shows a smooth curve fitted by eye to the data observed at Brownsville during the period 0600 through 2100 CST on the 9th and winds recorded at other stations at 01800 CST. This curve indicates that the maximum wind at Brownsville occurred when Allen's center was at a distance of 49 nmi from the station. Since the center bypassed the station at a distance of 21 nmi, there were no observations of surface winds in the hurricane's eye region.



# Figure 18.—Eye radii obtained from Brownsville, Texas radarscope for period 0300-2200 CST, August 9, 1980. Upper curve shows radial distance of outer eye from Allen's center. Lower curve shows radial distance of outside parameter of inner eye wall from the center.

The lower curve (figure 19) was fitted by eye to data points based on surface winds recorded at land stations when Allen was moving over land. Observations taken at 2200 and 2300 CST on the 9th at Brownsville when Allen's center was 8 nmi and 4 nmi off the coast, respectively, were included to show the decrease in wind speed from the maximum towards the center. The highest wind speed plotted on this curve was recorded at Kingsville Air Force Base when the center of Hurricane Allen was 35 nmi south of the station. This indicated a decrease in the radius of maximum winds from 49 nmi to 35 nmi as the hurricane approached the coast and moved inland. This observed decrease in radius of maximum winds is supported qualitatively by the eye radius which appeared to have decreased rapidly around 2100 CST on the 9th (figure 18).

provides a curve from which the radius of maximum winds can be Figure 20 It is based on analyses of all available observations previously determined. described. The distances of observed maximum flight-level winds from the hurricane's reconnaissance center reported during aerial are shown by triangles. Radial distances of maximum winds obtained from analyses of flight-level winds are shown by circled dots. These radial distances were read directly from composite charts of flight-level winds (e.g., figure 13), except for those shown in the first 24-hr. The results from the earlier time period



## Figure 19.---Radial profiles of surface winds constructed from observations taken at Brownsville, Texas and other stations for periods 0600-2100 CST on August 9 (upper curve) and 092200-100800 CST, August 1980, (lower curve).

were interpolated from analyzed surface charts (figures 12a through g). Radial distances determined from surface winds recorded at land stations are given by inverted triangles. The magnitude of extreme winds recorded at a given time was classified into two categories, a primary and a secondary wind maximum. The occurrences of primary wind maxima are denoted by solid lines while occurrences of secondary wind maxima are indicated by dashed lines. A shift of wind maxima from a radial distance of 10 nmi near the eye to that of about 45 nmi from the center seems to have occurred near 1500 CST on August 9.

Analysis of flight-level wind distributions (e.g., figure 13) and radial profiles of flight-level winds constructed from reconnaissance flight data (figures 14 through 16) reveal that the primary wind maximum near the inner eyewall decreased in magnitude while the secondary maximum migrated inward and became the dominant feature in the radial profiles. The analyzed results of flight-level (2500 m) winds, yielding an estimated radius to wind maximum of 10 nmi during the period of 1800 CST on the 8th through 1200 CST on the 9th, can



# Figure 20.—Variation of radius of primary (solid line) and secondary (dashed line) wind maxima with time, Hurricane Allen, August 8-10, 1980.

be applied to the surface. This was supported by the consistency of observed winds at three different altitudes (850, 600, and 500 mb-levels) recorded within a same time period on the 8th. The vertical structure of wind during that time period had little or no variation with height between flight-levels. (See figure 14 of Willoughby et al. 1982.) The secondary maximum migrated inward as the winds near the inner eyewall decreased in magnitude. By 1500 CST, the existence of a wind maximum at a radial distance of 45 nmi from the hurricane's center can be identified in both flight-level wind analyses and surface wind analyses. For Allen, then, this distance became the radius to the maximum wind, which reached 100-110 kn at flight-levels. After 1500 CST, winds of 70-90 kn at a radial distance of 10-20 nmi were reported by aerial reconnaissance aircraft. The extreme winds were, in fact, secondary wind maxima observed at the flight-level.

Analyses of surface winds recorded at Brownsville, Texas yielded results which agree very well with flight-level winds observed between 1600 CST and 1800 CST. These results indicated that wind maxima occurred at a radial distance of about 45 nmi from the center, implying that maximum winds would begin to strike coastal areas near 1600 CST when the hurricane's center was some 50 nmi off the coast. At Port Mansfield, maximum gusts of 120 kn were recorded at 2240 CST just before the recording instrument became inoperative. This indicated a reduction of the radial distance of maximum winds to about 36 nmi as Allen approached the coast. Surface winds observed at Kingsville, also indicated that the maximum winds remained at the same radial distance of 36 nmi from the center as Allen moved over land.

#### 6.6 Summary and Discussion of Meteorological Analysis

The individual parameters from our analysis of Hurricane Allen are listed in table 1. These are listed for locations of the hurricane center at 3-hr intervals on August 8 and part of August 9 and 10, and at 1-hr intervals between 1200 CST on the 9th and 0600 CST on the 10th. For each location, central pressure and the radius of primary and secondary wind maxima (both in nautical and statute miles) are listed. The table provides, in convenient form, the information that could be obtained from analyses of the basic data described in various sections.

It is of interest to note that there were two areas of wind maxima of approximately equal magnitudes observed during a brief time interval near 1200-1500 CST on the 9th (figure 14). This phenomenon occurred during a transition period when the secondary wind maximum migrated inward and winds near the inner eyewall weakened. During this time period, Allen's central pressure increased from 922 to 930 mb. As the intensity of Allen continued to weaken with its central pressure rising gradually, the wind maximum near the inner eyewall decreased in magnitude. The extreme winds at a greater radial distance then became the dominant feature which influenced the storm surge generation.

#### 7. DISCUSSION

It would be only speculation had we attempted to explain the evolution of wind maxima based on observations of a single hurricane. However, characteristics of hurricanes previously cited reveal similar evolutions of wind maxima the associated with observed phenomena of concentric eye walls. Similar to Allen, Hurricanes Beulah of 1967 and Anita of 1977 are good examples of such evolutions Hurricane Anita deepened on August 31 observed in the western Gulf of Mexico. and September 1 and its central pressure dropped to a minimum of 926 mb during the night of September 1, before striking the Mexican coast about 130 nmi south of Port Isabel, Texas. The concentric eye walls and the associated wind maxima were observed just after the central pressure fell below 940 mb (Willoughby and Hoose and Colon (1970) observed that concentric eye walls Shoreibah 1982). appeared in Hurricane Beulah shortly before the hurricane's central pressure dropped to 940 mb when Beulah was located about 200 nmi south of San Juan, Puerto They also observed that maximum winds occurred in the precipitation Rico. echo-free area outside the inner eye wall. They deduced that the concentric eye configuration would be quite unstable and the inner eye was probably dissipated by the subsiding downdrafts generated by the development of the more stable outer eye system. This concept was confirmed by numerical computations made by Shapiro and Willoughby (1982) using a dynamic model of Eliassen (1951).

We examined reconnaissance flight data recorded during a period of five hr when Beulah was about 120 nmi southeast of Brownsville, Texas (figure 21). Our analysis shows that wind maxima appeared at radial distances of 15 and 45 nmi from the hurricane's center near 1430 CST on September 19, after Beulah reached its maximum intensity and the central pressure dropped to 923 mb.

It is gratifying to note that both Beulah and Allen weakened before crossing the southern portion of the Texas coast. In both cases, the maximum winds near the inner eye wall decreased in magnitude while the outer wind maximum contracted in radius. If the hurricanes had deepened and reached their maximum intensity just before making landfall, winds of much higher magnitudes would have occurred at close proximity to the hurricane's center. Under such a configuration of surface winds, surge generation caused by the approaching hurricane would be quite different from that of a weakening hurricane. Table 2 shows the minimum central pressure of some hurricanes and typhoons near the time when concentric eye walls were observed. An examination of the time variation of central pressure in these hurricanes and typhoons reveals that they were in a deepening stage prior to the observed events. The central pressure of all hurricanes and typhoons in this table, except for Debbie of 1969, dropped below 940 mb around the time when concentric eye walls were observed. We speculate that this phenomenon is associated with intense hurricanes in their deepening stage after a threshold intensity (as measured by central pressure of around 940 mb) is reached.

It may be redundant to iterate the importance of wind maxima acting on and influencing the water levels in bays and estuaries when a hurricane crosses the coast and moves over land. However, based on the observations previously discussed, we recommend that further studies are needed to scrutinize wind configurations in intense hurricanes which deepen in the close proximity of the coast. Though this short-lived phenomenon might not change the general characteristics of a mature hurricane, it might well be an important factor to consider in the simulation of surge heights by using historical hurricane parameters as input to surge modeling.

Table	2Central	pressure	or	hurr1 canes	and	typhoons	near	the	time	when
conc	entric eye wa	lls were o	obse r	ved						

Storm date	Name	Central pressure (mb)
August 26, 1954	Typhoon Ida	892
March 24, 1956	Typhoon Sarah	940
September 6, 1960	Donna	940
September 9, 1967	Beulah	940
September 19, 1967	Beulah	923
August 20, 1969	Debbie	954
November 5, 1974	Typhoon Gloria	937
September 2, 1977	Anita	930
August 28, 1979	David	938
August 30, 1979	David	930
August 8, 1980	Allen	940



Figure 21.--Composite map of flight-level (990 m) winds observed in Hurricane Beulah during period 1230-1800 CST, September 19, 1967.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the staff of the Hurricane Research Division of NOAA's AOML for providing us with data from their files and computer plotted diagrams of flight-level winds from NOAA's research aircraft observations. The research support and technical assistance provided by staff members of Water Management Information Division, NOAA/NWS Office of Hydrology, was most helpful in preparing this report. Dr. Chester P. Jelesnianski of the NOAA/NWS Technical Development Laboratory, and Mr. Miles B. Lawrence of the National Hurricane Center, NOAA/NWS, provided crucial reviews of drafts of this report which were most helpful in improving the quality of the final product.

#### REFERENCES

- Cry, G.W., 1965: Tropical cyclones of the North Atlantic Ocean, 1871-1963. <u>Technical Paper No. 55</u>, Weather Bureau, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 148 pp.
- Eliassen, A., 1951: Slow thermally or frictionally controlled meridional circulation in a circular vortex. Astrophys. Norv., 5, 19-60.
- Fortner, L. E., 1958: Typhoon Sarah, 1956. <u>Bulletin American Meteorological</u> Society, 39, 663-669.
- Gentry, R.C., 1970: Hurricane Debbie modification experiments, August 1969. Science, 168, 473-475.
- Hagan, Richard, 1982: MIC, Weather Service Office, National Weather Service, NOAA, Brownsville, Texas. Private communication.
- Hawkins, H.F., 1971: Comparison of results of the Hurricane Debbie (1969) modification experiments with those from Rosenthal's numerical model simulation experiments. Monthly Weather Review, 99, 427-434.
- , Christinsen, F.E., Pierce, S.C., and staff, 1962: Inventory use and availability of National Research Project meteorological data gathered by aircraft. <u>National Hurricane Research Project Report No. 52</u>, Weather Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 352 pp.
- Ho, F.P. and Miller, J.F., 1982: Pertinent meteorological and hurricane tide data for Hurricane Carla. <u>NOAA Technical Report NWS 32</u>, National Weather Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 111 pp.
- Holliday, C.R., 1977: Double intensification of Typhoon Gloria, 1974. <u>Monthly</u> <u>Weather Review</u>, 105, 523-28.
- Hoose, H.M., and Colon, J.A., 1970: Some aspects of the radar structure of Hurricane Beulah on September 9, 1967. Monthly Weather Review, 98, 529-533.
- Jordan, C.L. and Schatzle, F.J., 1961: The "double eye" of Hurricane Donna. Monthly Weather Review, 89, 354-356.

- Lawrence, M.B. and Pelissier, J.M., 1981: Atlantic hurricane season of 1980. Monthly Weather Review, 109, 1567-1582.
- Merceret, H.D., DeVino, R., and Lewis, W.M., 1980: In-flight calibration of the NOAA/RFC meteorological research aircraft instruments at the Air Force Eastern Test Range: 1977-1978. <u>NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL-RFC-6</u>, Research Facilities Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Miami, Florida, 20 pp.
- National Hurricane Center, NOAA, 1980: Hurricane Allen. <u>Climatological Data,</u> <u>National Summary</u>, July 1980, National Climatic Center, Environmental Data and Information Services, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Asheville, North Carolina, 76-82.
- Neumann, C.J., Cry, G.W., Caso, E.L., and Jarvinen, B.R., 1978: Tropical Cyclone of the North Atlantic Ocean, 1871-1977. National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Asheville, North Carolina, 174 pp.
- Shapiro, L.J. and Willoughby, H.E., 1982: The response of balanced hurricane to local sources of heat and momentum. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, Volume 39, 378-394.
- Taylor, G. and staff, 1981: Annual data and verification tabulation, Atlantic tropical cyclones 1980. <u>NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS NHC 15</u>, National Hurricane Center, NWS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Miami, Florida, 78 pp.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1981: Hurricane Allen, 3-10 August 1980, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Galveston District, Texas, 62 pp.
- Willoughby, H.E., Clos, J.A., and Shoreibah, M.B., 1982: Concentric eye walls, secondary wind maxima, and the evolution of the hurricane vortex, <u>Journal of</u> the Atmospheric Sciences, Volume 39, 395-411.

#### APPENDIX - METEOROLOGICAL DATA

This appendix gives the basic meteorological data used to develop the analysis presented in this report. The tables list the observations of sea level pressure and wind data at land stations and the hourly reports from ships. They also include positions of the hurricane center as determined from reconnaissance aircraft and land-based radar data.

Table A.l lists the hourly observations of sea level pressure, wind direction, wind speed, and gustiness obtained at regular reporting stations. These hourly observations are taken from U.S. Weather Bureau Surface Weather Observations forms (WBAN 10) covering the period August 8 through August 10, 1980. The sea level pressure is given in units of millibars (mb). The wind direction is given as the direction from which the wind was blowing to the nearest ten degrees, measured clockwise from north. The reported wind directions were, in most instances, in compass points, i.e., N, NNE, NE, ENE, etc., and converted to degrees from north. The observed wind speed is a 5-min average determined from recorded observations. The gustiness is characterized by sudden, intermittent increases in speed where at least 9 kn were indicated between peaks and valleys with a time interval of less than 20 s. The wind speed is determined to the nearest knot.

The National Weather Service maintains a series of radar observing stations along the U.S. coastline from Brownsville, Texas to Eastport, Maine. These radars are used to track hurricanes for use in the hurricane warning system. Two stations in this network were in a position to track Hurricane Allen as it approached the Texas coastline. These stations are at Brownsville and Corpus Christi, Texas. The radar eye positions reported by these NWS stations, when the center was within range of the land-based radar, are listed in table A.2 by half-hourly intervals.

The complete reconnaissance aircraft reports were considered too voluminous to reproduce entirely in this report. Table A.3 lists those reports that provided the locations of storm center, observed sea level pressure, estimated surface winds, and/or the diameter of the eye. For a few of these reports, the range and maximum winds from the storm center were obtainable. This information is also presented in the remarks column. The reported position of the storm center has the same accuracy as the aircraft position determined by radar and the land navigational systems. With some exceptions, the accuracies of these positions is generally within 1 nmi. The central pressure data is given in millibars and is determined by dropsonde or extrapolation from flight-level data.

To obtain weather reports from oceanic areas, the NWS solicits the cooperation of merchant ships of U.S. and foreign registry and of non-military U.S. Government ships. There are about 200 ships that participate in this program. Observations are visual plus barometric and occasionally cyanometric pressure and are reported by radio at synoptic time when the ship is underway. In addition to the ships in the cooperative programs, all ships are asked to send special radio reports when tropical storms or hurricanes are encountered. Data from ships that report through the regular reporting system, supplemented by data from those ships submitting weather observations after the arrival at their major destination, are listed in table A.4. We have restricted our listing to the location of the reporting ship, sea level pressure, and wind data. The data are grouped by the time of observation from 1800 CST, August 7, through 1200 CST, August 10, 1980. This set of data was useful in the analyses of the pressure field and the wind field of the hurricane, especially when its center was located off the coast. The aneroid barometers on ships in the cooperative observing programs are calibrated by the NWS when a ship is visiting a port in the U.S. where an NWS Port Meteorological Officer is assigned. These calibrations, however, may not be as frequent as desirable.

ALICE TX

LATITUDE 27°44'N LONGITUDE 98° 4'W ELEVATION 201FT

 TIME (IN HOURS CST)

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24

DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1013.9 1013.6 1013.2 1013.9 1013.9 1012.9 1011.7 1010.3 1009.6 1010.3 1010.0 1009.6 1013.6 1013.2 1013.5 1013.9 1013.2 1012.4 1011.0 1009.6 1009.6 1010.3 1010.0 1009 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 000 000 000 000 360 360 040 050 050 050 090 050 040 100 090 090 090 090 080 n60 040 040 010 WIND SPD (KN) 00 00 00 000 000 05 05 10 10 08 14 12 12 15 15 20 14 15 18 14 12 11 12 10 GUST (KN)

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1006.6 1006.6 1005.5 1006.9 1006.9 1006.9 1004.9 1004.9 1001.8 1001.0 1000.8 . 1007,6 1005,9 1006,5 1008,5 1007,6 1005,2 1004,5 1003,5 999 . 1001.4 1000.4 WIND DIR(DEG) 020 010 010 010 030 030 040 060 WIND SPD (KN) 11 13 15 15 15 15 13 10 25 GUST (KN)  $030 \\ 15$ 070 040 030 030 15 22 20 16 070 040 28 24 040 29 050 n40 30 23 050 050

DATE AUGUST LOTH: 1980

PRESSURE(Ma) 994.6 795.4 992.6 990.3 992.9 996.8 998.6 999.2 1001.2 1001.5 1004.6 1007.2 990.6 995.0 997.6 998.9 1000.3 996.5 994.0 990.5 1001.2 1006,3 1007 . WIND DIR(DEG) 060 060 050 060 070 110 100 120 120 120 130 130 130 130 130 130 140 130 WIND SPD (KN) 33 40 35 40 41 40 50 53 50 38 33 30 30 30 30 28 30 28 16 GUST (KN) 120 160 130 160 17 15 12 12

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1930 PRESSURE(MB) 1008.9 1007.9 1007.9 1008.9 1010.0 1010.8 1009.0 1008.7 1008.7 109.7 1010.5 1008.5 1009.7 1010.5 1010.1 1010.0 1008.3 1008.3 1009.4 1008.2 1007.9 1010.8 1010 WIND DIR(DEG) 040 040 040 040 040 050 060 060 050 050 060 WIND SPD (KN) 09 07 09 08 10 10 11 12 10 10 10 10 080 060 070 050 070 WIND SPD (KN) 09 07 GUST (KN) 09 06 06 06 05 07

 DATE
 AUGUST
 9TH, 1930

 PRESSURE(MB)
 1012.1
 1011.4
 1010.7
 1011.3
 1011.6
 1011.3
 1010.7
 1008.6
 1008.6
 1008.3
 1n09.3
 1009.1

 1011.4
 1010.7
 1010.6
 1011.3
 1011.1
 1009.6
 1008.6
 1008.3
 1008.7
 1009.3

 WIND DIR(DEG)
 360
 010
 0.60
 0.70
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.90
 0.80
 0.90
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.90
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.80
 0.70
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.70
 0.70
 0.60
 0.70
 0.70
 0.70
 0.70
 0.70
 0.70
 0.70

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

LATITUDE 30017'N LONGITUDE 97026'W ELEVATION 597FT

AUSTIN TX

AUGUST 10TH, 1980 DATE 1006.5 1008.3 PRESSURE(MB) 1001.5 1000.8 999.3 1000.9 1001.7 1002.2 1002.9 1003.4 1002.9 1003.3 1003.2 1007.4 1000.4 1000.6 999.3 1001.5 1002.2 1002.4 1003.1 1002.4 1004.8 1008 WIND DIR(DEG) 100 110 110 090 080 090 100 100 100 110 110 130 120 150 WIND SPD (KN) 26 16 25 25 34 30 24 18 22 21 24 25 24 22 GUST (KN) 130 19  $110 \\ 18$ 120 120 130 130

DATE AUGUST 9TH: 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1009.5 1007.6 1006.7 1007.0 1007.5 1009.4 1006.5 1006.3 1005.4 1004.3 1003.9 1002.5 1008.5 1005.7 1007.2 1008,5 1008.1 1008.1 1006,1 1006,2 1004.8 1004.4 1003.1 1002 WIND DIR(DEG) 040 040 030 WIND SPD (KN) 10 11 10 GUST (KN) 090 16  $\begin{array}{cccc}
080 & 120 \\
06 & 14
\end{array}$ 050 22  $070 \\ 10$ 030 040 11 040 050 14 070 060 19  $070 \\ 18$ 060 17 060 060 n60 20 21 050 21 060 070 10 10 16

PRESSURE(MB) 1014.0 1013.6 1013.4 1014.0 1014.0 1013.2 1011.9 1010.5 1009.6 1010.4 1010.5 1010.41010.4 1014.3 1013.6 ^012.4 1011.3 1009.7 1010.0 1010.5 1010 1013.7 1013.5 1013.6 WIND DIR(DEG) 080 050 040 040 030 360 030 040 040 060 070 WIND SPD (KN) 03 03 04 05 06 05 06 06 08 08 07 GUST (KN) 040 070 090 080 080 120 100 06 12 10 11 10 11 14 090 060 10 05 050 020 100 030

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

BEEVILLE, CHASE FIELD, TX LATITUDE 28022'N LONGITUDE 97040'W ELEVATION 190FT

TABLE A.1 -- CONTINUED SEA LEVEL PRESSURE AND WIND DATA FROM REGULARLY REPORTING STATIONS

DATE

AUGUST 8TH+ 1980

DATE AUGUST 10TH. 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 972.7 979.1 987.8 935.3 1000.0 1001.4 1001.3 1001.1 1001.9 1002.2 1004.2 1006.5 976.9 983.2 991.7 999,8 1000.5 1002.1 1001.2 1001.1 1001.9 1002.6 1005.8 1006 WIND DIR(DEG) 250 250 250 260 250 190 200 WIND SPD (KN) 33 32 40 40 41 42 40 GUST (KN) 190 200 200 200 36 28 25 19 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 15140

AUGUST 9TH: 1980 DATE PRESSURE(MB) 1003.1 1001.1 997.7 996.3 994.6 991.1 986.2 981.3 977.9 976.1 974+5 970.6 995.6 993.8 988.9 979.7 977.5 975.9 1001.7 999.2 996.8 984.1 973.3 969 WIND DIR(DEG) 350 340 020 WIND SPD (KN) 18 19 18 GUST (KN) 340 19 340 350 25 22  $\begin{array}{ccc}
 360 & 360 \\
 24 & 31
 \end{array}$ 010 360 30 38  $\begin{array}{ccc} 350 & 010 \\ 34 & 32 \end{array}$ 340 19 360 360 36 37 360 360 360 38 360 360 34 38 360 330 2<sup>9</sup>0 25 26 30 250 31

DATE AUGUST 8TH. 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1013.2 1012.4 1011.3 1012.1 1011.9 1011.5 1010.6 1009.4 1007.9 1008.2 1006.8 1005.6 1012,9 1012. 1012.2 1012.2 1011.6 1010.8 1010.2 1008.5 1008.2 1007.1 1006.8 1004 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 330 340 WIND SPD (KN) 00 05 05 GUST (KN) 360 010 010 030 09 10 15 13 330 320 340 330 06 05 05 06 340 350 340 10 11 12 340

 TIME (IN HOURS CST)

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24

LATITUDE ≥5●54 N LONGITUDE 97●26 W ELEVATION 19FT

BROWNSVILLE TX

 DATE
 AUGUST 10TH, 1980

 PRESSURE(MB) 1010.2
 1009.5
 1009.5
 1010.2
 1011.1
 1011.7
 1011.1
 1011.1
 1010.5
 1010.2
 1011.6
 1011.6

 1009.8
 1009.1
 1010.2
 1010.8
 1011.7
 1011.1
 1011.1
 1010.5
 1010.2
 1011.6
 1011.6

 WIND DTR(DEG)
 090
 070
 070
 070
 070
 070
 070
 060
 090
 120
 120
 100
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120
 120

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1012.0 1011.3 1011.0 1011.6 1012.6 1011.8 1011.1 1009.8 1009.8 1009.5 1n10.4 1010.4 1012.0 1011.3 1011.1 1012.6 1012.2 1011.5 1010.5 1009.5 1009.5 1009.5 1010.4 1010 WIND DIR(DEG) 099 080 070 050 060 050 070 070 090 120 090 120 110 120 100 100 090 090 090 090 090 070 WIND SPD (KM) 00 06 07 07 08 08 09 10 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 07 06 05 06 10 08

DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1015.6 1015.3 1015.6 1015.9 1016.1 1015.1 1013.4 1012.6 1011.2 1011.9 1012.6 1012.9 1015.6 1015.6 1015.9 1016.5 1015.4 1014.4 1013.1 1011.6 1011.6 1012.3 1012.9 1012 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 000 000 060 060 040 050 050 070 070 110 130 100 100 120 140 130 120 130 150 740 100 070 110 WIND SPD (KN) 09 00 00 00 04 06 05 05 08 07 08 07 18 12 14 12 12 12 10 05 05 05 05 04 05 GUST (KN)

 TIME (IN HOURS CST)

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24

COLLEGE STATION TX LATITUDE D0•35'N LONGITUDE 96•22'W ELEVATION 314FT

AUGUST 10TH, 1980 DATE PRESSURE(MB) 995.9 994.2 996.6 997.3 1001.0 1002.0 1002.7 1001.7 1004.1 1n06.1 1008.5 992.6 996.3 1002.7 1004.7 994.9 994.9 999,7 1001,4 1000,0 1002.0 1007.8 993.2 1008 WIND DIR(DEG) 060 070 080 080 110 110 110 100 110 120 130 140 140 120 120 150 140 130 130 120 WIND SPD (KN) 44 44 45 45 45 46 45 45 45 43 30 30 29 26 22 12 30 28 28 25 GUST (KN) 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 17

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1007.5 1005.4 1005.1 1006.4 1006.4 1004.7 1002.7 1002.0 1001.7 1000.0 998.6 998.6 1006.4 1005.1 1005.4 1006.1 1005.4 1003.7 1004.1 1002.0 1000.7 1000.0 1000.0 997 WIND DIR(DEG) 360 020 030 020 030 940 040 040 050 050 030 020 030 060 040 030 040 040 020 040 040 050 070 WIND SPD (KN) 13 14 19 16 17 19 28 22 28 26 25 28 28 30 28 25 32 33 32 36 35 30 32 38 GUST (KN)

DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1014,2 1013.5 1013.5 1013.9 1013.5 1012.9 1011.5 1010.8 1010.2 1010.2 1009.5 1009.1 1013.9 1013.5 1013.2 1013.2 1013.2 1012.2 1011.2 1010.2 1010.2 1009.8 1009.8 1009.8 1008 WIND DIR(DEG) 090 0A0 120 000 360 020 020 360 050 040 040 050 060 090 080 070 070 060 040 050 020 020 WIND SPD (KN) 07 05 05 00 05 07 09 10 13 12 13 14 18 20 18 17 16 19 14 13 12 14 09 10

 TIME (IN HOURS CST)

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 >1
 22
 23
 24

LATITUDE 27046'N LONGITUDE 97030'W ELEVATION 44FT

CORPUS CHRISTI TX

*ë* 

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 996.7 95.7 999.6 1001.9 1003.7 1003.8 1002.6 1004.9 1007.2 1009.3 994.3 997.2 998,4 1002,3 1002,8 1003,8 1002,7 1004,1 1006,0 1008,6 1009 995.0 995.2 996.1 140 25 34 030 30 42 130 26 34 WIND DIR(DEG) 080  $110 \\ 30 \\ 47$ 110 30 42 130 20 WIND SPD (KN) 33 GUST (KN) 50 **1**4

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1007.6 1006.1 1006.3 1005.7 1005.6 1004.2 1003.8 1001.8 1001.1 1000.6 999.4 999.3 1006.9 1005.4 1006.2 1005.4 1005.1 1003.8 1002.8 1001.2 999.9 999.3 999.8 998 WIND DIR(DEG) 030 020 030 020 WIND SPD (KN) 10 11 10 14 GUST (KN) 060 060 050 090 060 28 30 27 28 25 42 42 42 38 44 090 33 47 n70 090 090 26 36 30 44 43

DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980 PRESSURE (MB) 1014.5 1014.0 1013.8 1014.0 1014.2 1013.8 1013.0 1012.0 1011.0 1010.9 1n10.1 1009.4 1014.3 1013.9 1013.9 1014.3 1013.8 1013.4 1012.5 1011.3 1010.8 1010.2 1010.1 1008 WIND DIR (DEG) 090 080 080 080 050 030 010 010 030 040 050 040 060 060 060 070 050 050 050 050 n30 050 050 030 WIND SPD (KN) 05 03 03 02 02 02 03 08 06 10 10 10 12 13 10 14 14 12 12 12 14 12 12 13 10 10

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

CORPUS CHRISTI, NAS, TX LATITUDE 27042'N LONGITUDE 97017'W ELEVATION 1FT

DATA ENTERED ONLY FOR THOSE TIMES THAT WERE AVAILABLE

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 1001.6 998.2 997.6 PRESSURE(MB) 1001.6 1001.0 1000.0 999.0 1008.6 1001.0 . 1001.3 999.0 998.2 997.6 1002.0 1000.6 1008.0 1000.0 WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN) 090 090 24 17  $\begin{array}{ccc}
010 & 050 \\
15 & 15
\end{array}$ 070 17 020 020 030 20 18 050 060 24 25 070 080 24 16 070 090 24 27 100 17 040 100 16

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE (MB) 1009.0 1010.1 1010.4 1009.8 1010.1 1008.4 1007.1 1007.0 1007.3 . . 1007.1 1007.9 1010.1 1010.1 1009.8 1010.1 1007.3 1009.0 . WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN) 030 040 360

DATE AUGUST 8TH: 1980 1012.5 1014.4 1011.0 1010.0 1010.0 PRESSURE (MB) 1014.4 1014.8 1014.8 1010.7 . ٠ . 1013.6 1012.2 1010.3 1014.4 1015.1 1014.4 1010.0 1010.4 ٠ WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN)

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 5 7 8 23 1 2 3 4 20 21 22 24

.

.

.

.

LATITUDE 28027'N LONGITUDE 99013'W ELEVATION 459FT

COTULLA TX

DALLAS LOVE FIELD TX LATITUDE 32°51'N LONGITUDE 96°51'W ELEVATION 440FT

			TIME (IN HOURS CST)																						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
DATE	AUGUS	ST 8	TH• 1	980																					
WIND DIR WIND SPD GUST	(DEG) (KN) (KN)	170 05	$170 \\ 07$	$170 \\ 08$	190 04	200 80	190 03	200 04	200 06	180 06	$\begin{smallmatrix}160\\13\end{smallmatrix}$	160 09	130 08	200 08	130 14	100	140 14	190 11	120 10	140 15	140 10	130 07	120 05	120 07	100 05
UATE	AUGUS	ST 9	TH• 1'	990		_													_	-	-	~	_		_
WIND DIR WIND SPD GUST	(DEG) (KN) (KN)	100 05	120 04	120 06	140 07	150 06	000	120 06	140 07	140 09	$130 \\ 10$	140 12	110 12	$120 \\ 13$	130 14	140 08	$110 \\ 15$	140 15	130 12	150 12	150 12	1 30 08	130 07	130 06	130 05
UATE	AUGUS	ST 10	TH• 1	980																					
WIND DIR WIND SPD GUST	(DEG) (KN)	160 06	$170 \\ 10$	150 07	170 07	150 08	160 07	090 04	160 10	120 12	$150 \\ 13$	150 11	$130 \\ 12$	120 11	$130 \\ 10$	150 10	170 13	170 10	150 15	160 10	150 08	180 08	190 07	210	200

DATA ENTERED ONLY FOR THOSE TIMES THAT WERE AVAILABLE

FORT WORTH TX		LATI ELEV	TUDE ATION	32 <b>*</b> 49 67	OFT	ONGIT	UDE	97•21	• W															
										-	TIME	(IN H	IOURS	CST)										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980																								
WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN)	160 10	170 10	170 06	180 05	160 06	190 03	000	190 09	140 06	230 05	1 <sup>7</sup> 0 12	170 14	160 10	080 08	140 12	140 16	0 <sup>9</sup> 0 12	140 13	110 12	130 08	130 06	140 07	150 05	130 06
DATE AUGUS WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN)	т эт 090 04	H, 15 080 03	980 ~60 05	110 02	110 04	0 90 04	110 04	130 05	160 10	080 06	120 11	120 11	120 11	100 10	100 11	0 <sup>9</sup> 0 12	120 11	120 08	140 14	130 12	ī30 11	140	140 08	150 09
DATE AUGUS WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN)	T 10T 190 06	H, 1' 180 05	980 190 10	170 07	150 06	140 05	030	110 08	150 10	150 12	130 12	140 14	140 13	100 15	15 <sub>0</sub> 11	179 15	160 14	160 15	160 12	150 07	160 10	17g	180 04	000

DATA ENTERED ONLY FOR THOSE TIMES THAT WERE AVAILABLE

GALVESTU	ΣΝ <b>ΤΧ</b>			LATITUDE 29°18'N LONGITUDE 94°48'W ELEVATION 7FT																						
		1	2		3 4		5	6	7	8	9	10	TIME (IN 11 12		(IN HOURS CST) 12 13 14		ST) 14 15 1		16 17		19	20	21	22	23	24
DATE	AUGUE	т	8 <b>T</b> H,	198	30																					
WIND DIR WIND SPE GUST	(DEG) D (KN) F (KN)						040 11	040 09	040 09	030 08	040 11	050 11	070 16	050 17	060 13	060 14	050 20	070 12	080 12	090 13	060 10	060 12	n60 15			
DATE	AUGUS	т	9 <b>T</b> H •	198	30																					
WIND DIF WIND SPE GUST	R(DEG) D (KN) F (KN)						070 18	080 21	070 17	080 22	080 24	080 21	080 23	080 22	090 21	090 23	090 22	090 21								
DATE	AUGUS	т 1	0тн,	198	30																					
WIND DIA WIND SPD GUST	(DEG) ) (KN) f (KN)						110 22	120 20	120 18	120 21	$\substack{110\\18}$	120 10	$\substack{110\\21}$	120 16	120 18	110 16	$\substack{110\\13}$	$110 \\ 15$	120 15	$110 \\ 15$	$\begin{array}{c} 110\\14\end{array}$	110 18	110 14			

DATA ENTERED ONLY FOR THOSE TIMES THAT WERE AVAILABLE

TIME (IN HOURS CST)

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

1000.7

1001.1

1002.0

170 140 140 15 20 22

999.9

1000.2

998.7

20

21

1004.0

130

1006.3

160 15

1003.3

22

23

1006.7

120

1007

220 10

24

KINGSVILLE. NAS. TX

4

3

591.1

992.0

070 070 39 36 49 49

2

1

AUGUST 8TH. 1980

6

7

985.2

989.0

8

9

991.9

LATITUDE 27°30'N LONGITUDE 97°49'W ELEVATION 5FT

5

987.1

080 090 44 38 57 62

989.6

080

40 57

985.4

DATE

WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN)

PRESSURE(MB) 993.9

WIND DIR(DEG) 070

WIND SPD (KN) 36 GUST (KN) 53

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1006.9 1005.3 1004.5 1005.3 1005.9 1004.0 1002.2 1000.9 1000.4 998.6 997.3 997.6 1005.7 998,4 998,3 1006.1 1004.5 1005.5 1005.0 1002.7 1001,9 1000.5 999.1 995 WIND DIR(DEG) 350 360 010 WIND SPD (KN) 12 12 12 GUST (KN) 030 010 16 030 020 10 030 20 020 020 020 020 33 43 030 26 42 040 24 43 060 30 41 010 020 020 24 020 25 03n 22 030 25 030 n20 24 44

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980

996.9

150 29 37

995.6

**4**6

150 35

998.1

997.7

060 30 49

PRESSURE(MB) 1014,9 1014.5 1014.5 1015.1 1014.9 1014.5 1012.9 1011.2 1010.2 1009.7 1010.7 1010.9

PRESSURE (MB) 1012.2 1011.6 1011.6 1012.4 1011.8 1009.9 1008.0 1007.5 1008.0 1008.8 1009.5

PRESSURE(M3) 1008.8 1008.3 1007.5 1008.0 1009.7 1009.8 1008.2 1007.1 1006.2 1006.0 1005.2 1005.2

WIND DIR(DEG) 120 120 120 120 110 100 100 120 120 110 120 140 120 000 150 130 120 100 110 120 720 080 110 100 WIND SPD (KN) 08 06 04 04 03 03 05 04 06 04 04 06 04 00 05 08 07 11 05 06 04 02 03 04 GUST (KN)

1014.9

TIME (IN HOURS CST)

1013.9

1011.8 1011.8 1010.8 1012.2 1012.4 1010.9 1009.0 1007.3 1007.7 1008.0 1009.4

1010.2 1009.3 1007.7 1006.4

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1012.2 1010.5

1009.8 1010.3

1006.2 1005.4 1005.2

n20 18

360 12

24

1012

1009

000

1005

040

030 040

23

1011.0

1014.5

2

1014.7

AUGUST 9TH, 1980

AUGUST 10TH, 1980

1008.2

1

DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980

WIND DIR(DEG) 115 090 080 WIND SPD (KN) 05 02 03 GUST (KN)

3

LAUGHLIN AFB, TX

LATITUDE 29°22'N LONGITUDE 100°47'W ELEVATION 1082FT

7

8

1015,5

1008.4

6

1014.7

5

1007.9 1007.2

WIND DIR(DEG) 000 290 330 010 010 010 010 020 060 030 010 010 010 010 WIND SPD (KN) 00 04 03 06 05 07 08 10 06 06 10 11 08 10 GUST (KN)

DATE

DATE

56

LUFKIN TX

LATITUDE 31°14'N LONGITUDE 94°45'W ELEVATION 281FT

### TIME (IN HOURS CST)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

DATE AUGUST 8TH: 1980 PRESSURF(MB) 1016:1 1016:1 1015:8 1016:4 1016:7 1016:1 1014:7 1013:0 1012:4 1012:7 1013.3 1013.6 1016:1 . 1016:4 1016:7 1016:4 1015:4 1014:0 1012:7 1012:4 1013:0 1013:6 1013 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 000 000 000 050 040 050 060 050 040 080 070 070 100 090 100 120 090 120 080 110 WIND SPD (KN) 00 00 00 00 06 06 10 08 12 12 10 14 19 15 12 10 08 06 05 05 05 05

 DATE
 AUGUST
 9TH, 1980

 PRESSURE(4B)
 1013.0
 1012.7
 1012.7
 1013.0
 1014.0
 1013.0
 1012.3
 1010.3
 1010.6
 1011.9
 1011.3

 1013.0
 1012.7
 1013.0
 1013.7
 1014.0
 1012.3
 1011.6
 1010.3
 1010.3
 1010.9
 1011.6
 1011

 WIND DIR(DEG)
 0.90
 0.80
 0.90
 0.90
 0.60
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90
 0.90

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1011.7 1011.0 1011.0 1012.1 1012.6 1012.9 1012.2 1011.2 1010.9 1010.9 1012.1 1012.4 1010,9 1011.3 1012.3 1012.9 1011.5 1011.7 1012.2 1011.2 1011.2 1012.4 1011.0 1012 WIND DIR(DEG) 130 100 090 090 090 080 080 090 120 100 170 140 120 190 170 170 120 110 WIND SPD (KN) 06 06 06 06 06 04 08 12 10 12 12 07 08 10 08 10 10 07 100 090 06 05 120 120 150 120 05 05 05 05 04 WIND SPD (KN) TOG GUST (KN)

DATA ENTERED ONLY FOR THOSE TIMES THAT WERE AVAILABLE

WIND DIR(DEG) 340 340 330 WIND SPD (KN) 13 15 13 GUST (KN) 350 15 030 330 DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 995,2 PRESSURE(MB) 997.3 999.3 999.7 999.7 1002.1 1005.7 1006.0 ٠ ٠ . 998.3 995.6 1006 999.7 1000.0 1000.7 1003.4 1005.7 ٠ WIND DIR(DEG) WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN) 200 20 200 20 180 190 14 180 15 150 190 16 05 170

DATE AUGUST 8TH. 1980 PRESSURE(M8) 1013.8 1012,8 1012,5 1012,8 1012,8 1012,1 1010.8 1009.8 1008.7 1009.4 1008.7 1008.4 1012.8 1012.5 1012.5 1013.1 1012.5 1011.4 1010.1 1008.7 1008.7 1009.0 1008,7 1007 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 300 000 000 360 330 340 010 350 WIND SPD (KN) 00 05 00 00 05 04 06 05 00 GUST (KN) 360 350 10 12 020 040 040 n20 08 330

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 23 24 6 21 22

٠

LATITUDE 26°11'N LONGITUDE 98°14'W ELEVATION 122FT

1004.0

1003.0

1003,4

٠

MCALLEN TX

DATE

AUGUST 9TH. 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1006.4 1004.0

1005.4

TIME (IN HOURS CST)

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

24

23

22

LATITUDE 31°57'N LONGITUDE 102°11'W ELEVATION 2857FT

6

5

7

8

DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1012.7 1012.6 1013.1 1013.6 1014.0 1013.6 1012.1 1010.4 1009.5 1009.1 1010.4 1011.6 1012.7 1012.6 1013.2 1013.7 1013.6 1012.9 1011.5 1009.8 1009.2 1010.0 1010.7 1011 WIND DIR(DEG) 160 160 160 150 140 160 140 160 160 170 130 140 130 140 160 150 150 150 140 140 130 150 120 110 110 WIND SPD (KN) 07 06 10 10 07 08 11 10 11 12 11 14 15 13 14 15 14 13 12 11 07 06 06

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1011.6 1011.0 1011.2 1011.8 1012.1 1011.7 1010.3 1008.6 1007.4 1007.5 1008.2 1009.1 1011.2 1011.1 1011.4 1011.6 1012.1 1011.2 1009.5 1007.6 1007.5 1007.7 1008.9 1009 WIND DIR(DEG) 130 130 130 000 000 000 170 160 160 160 140 150 150 120 110 140 130 120 110 110 120 130 WIND SPD (KN) 06 05 06 03 00 00 00 08 10 10 13 13 10 17 16 14 14 13 13 13 13 10 12 11 GUST (KN)

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1009.1 1008.7 1008.7 1009.7 1010.6 1010.5 1009.6 1008.7 1008.2 1008.6 1009.8 1010.7 1008.8 1008.5 1008.7 1010.3 1010.6 1010.1 1009.1 1008.3 1008.5 1009.2 1009.9 1011 WIND DIR(DEG) 140 150 000 140 000 000 140 130 120 130 130 100 110 120 130 130 140 120 120 130 130 110 100 100 WIND SPD (KN) 07 06 00 00 00 00 00 140 131 12 14 12 15 14 17 14 19 18 20 16 15 20 14 12 12

MIDLAND TX

2

1

3

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1011.2 1010.8 1011.0 1011.2 1012.2 1012.3 1011.4 1010.6 1010.2 1010.4 1010.8 1011.1 1010.8 1010.9 1011.0 1012.2 1012.3 1011.9 1011.3 1010.4 1010.0 1010.8 1010.8 1010.8 1010 WIND DIR(OEG) 060 060 070 070 060 070 070 080 070 100 110 110 090 120 120 120 100 100 090 110 090 100 100 WIND SPD (KN) 15 15 16 16 16 15 18 18 18 20 20 23 23 22 22 20 13 14 17 16 14 10 14

 DATE
 AUGUST
 8TH, 1930

 PRESSURE(MB)
 1015.2
 1014.4
 1014.6
 1015.1
 1015.6
 1015.3
 1014.2
 1013.0
 1012.1
 1012.4
 1n12.6
 1012.2

 1014.8
 1014.5
 1014.6
 1015.3
 1015.3
 1014.6
 1013.5
 1012.5
 1012.2
 1012.1
 1012.6
 1012.6

 WIND
 DIR(DEG)
 040
 020
 030
 040
 360
 050
 050
 080
 090
 090
 060
 050
 090
 060
 050
 090
 080
 080
 090
 090
 060
 050
 060
 070
 070
 060
 060
 050
 17
 17
 16
 15
 13
 14
 13
 15
 15

 GUST
 (KN)
 06
 06
 09
 11
 15
 16
 16
 16
 15
 20
 17
 17
 16
 16
 15
 15
 15
 13
 14
 13
 15
 15
 15
 15
 15
 15
 15
 15
 15
 15
 <t

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

LATITUDE 29057'N LONGITUDE 940 1'W Elevation 16Ft

PORT ARTHUR.USO.TX

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 PRESSURE (MB) 1007.3 1006.3 1006.3 1006.6 1007.5 1007.8 1006.7 1004.4 1005.9 1006.3 1008.0 1008.5 1007.0 1006.4 1006.3 1006.7 1008.1 1007.0 1005.6 1005.0 1005.6 1007.0 1008.5 1009 WIND DIR (DEG) 030 050 040 040 050 040 030 030 050 040 030 050 040 030 050 070 060 070 050 070 060 070 070 090 WIND SPD (KN) 13 12 12 12 14 14 12 14 17 20 18 17 20 24 22 30 21 20 21 20 17 17 20 14

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1011.7 1010.7 1009.7 1010.7 1010.7 1010.7 1010.7 1009.7 1008.5 1008.0 1007.6 1008.7 1008.4 1011.4 1010.3 1009.7 1010.7 1010.6 1010.5 1009.1 1008.4 1007.6 1008.0 1008.7 1008 WIND DIR(DEG) 330 340 360 020 010 930 040 020 060 070 050 050 040 050 070 360 010 050 060 050 050 040 030 030 WIND SPD (KN) 05 05 06 08 08 99 10 11 13 15 12 14 13 14 17 05 08 10 10 08 06 06 06 11 09

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

LATITUDE 29**032!N LONGITUDE 98028!W** ELEVATION 794FT

SAN ANTONIO TX

DATE AUGUST 10TH. 1980 PRESSURE(AB) 1007.2 1006.2 1006.1 1006.4 1006.8 1007.0 1005.6 1003.2 1005.5 1005.3 1n06.7 1007.8 1005.3 1005.6 1006.2 1007.3 1006.4 1004.7 1003.8 1007.6 1006.1 1006.2 1009 1006.6 WIND DIR(DEG) 040 050 050 060 WIND SPD (KN) 15 16 15 16 GUST (KN) 050 050 040 040 030 070 16 16 16 16 18 10 060 040 040 040 070 080 090 18 20 18 20 24 20 20 090 10 080 100 n80 10 18 18 080 080 20 20 090 15

DATE AUGUST 9TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1011.9 1311.0 1009.6 1010.9 1010.8 1010.5 1009.5 1008.2 1008.4 1007.9 1008.6 1008.2 1011.4 1010.5 1009.9 1010.9 1010.5 1010.4 1008.8 1008.4 1007.6 1007.8 1008.4 1008 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 020 010 030 030 030 060 040 050 070 040 060 060 040 070 050 040 100 100 070 n60 060 050 040 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 02 010 030 030 030 060 040 050 070 040 060 060 060 040 070 050 040 100 100 070 n60 060 050 040 WIND DIR(DEG) 000 02 010 030 030 030 060 040 050 070 040 060 060 060 050 040 070 050 040 100 12 06 12 WIND DIR(KN) 00 02 02 06 06 10 12 12 10 12 10 14 18 12 06 040 04 04 04 04 04 10 12 06 12

 DATE
 AUGUST 8TH, 1980

 PRESSURE(MB) 1015.5
 1015.0
 1015.1
 1015.3
 1015.6
 1014.6
 1013.2
 1011.7
 1010.6
 1010.4
 1n11.7
 1012.3

 1015.2
 1015.3
 1015.3
 1015.5
 1015.0
 1014.0
 1012.4
 1010.9
 1010.4
 1012.1
 1012.1

 WIND DIR(DEG)
 120
 040
 000
 000
 02
 04
 03
 04
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 11
 11
 10
 08
 04
 02

 GUST (KN)
 04
 00
 00
 00
 00
 02
 04
 03
 06
 05
 03
 05
 05
 06
 08
 10
 10
 11
 11
 10
 08
 04
 02

TIME (IN HOURS CST) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

SAN ANTONIO, KELLY AFB, TX LATITUDE 29•23'N LONGITUDE 98•35'W ELEVATION 690FT

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 PRESSURE(M3) 1005.4 1004.7 1004.3 1006.1 1006.7 1007.1 1006.7 1007.1 1007.1 1008.1 1n09.3 1010.1 1004.7 1006.4 1006.7 1006,7 1007.2 1007.1 1007.1 1008.4 1005.0 1005.0 1009,8 1010 WIND DIR(DEG) 070 060 070 WIND SPD (KN) 20 19 19 GUST (KN)  $\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & 9_0 & 100 \\
 2 & 18
 \end{array}$ 090 110 090 12 12 110 110 140 110 110 12 12 18

DATE AUGUST 9TH: 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1009.8 1008.2 1008.1 1008.4 1008.4 1009.1 1007.7 1007.1 1007.4 1006.7 1006.9 1006.1 1008.7 1007.7 1009.1 1008.1 1008.1 1008.6 1008.4 1007.2 1006,7 1007.1 1006.4 1005 WIND DIR(DEG) 060 040 040 040 040 040 040 050 060 060 WIND SPD (KN) 14 14 15 15 15 16 16 19 20 21 18 GUST (KN) 060 060 080 n70 13 12 18 15 040 070 050

DATE AUGUST 8TH: 1980 PRESSURE (MB) 1014.9 1014.2 1014.5 1014.9 1014.9 1014.2 1012.8 1011.5 1011.3 1011.8 1010.8 1011.1 1014.2 1014.7 1015.2 1014.3 1013.3 1012.5 1011.1 1014.5 1011.3 1011.3 1011.5 1010 0<sup>9</sup>0 0<sup>8</sup>0 15 10 WIND DIR(DEG) 040 010 020 020 020 030 WIND SPD (KN) 06 04 05 06 05 08 080 18 070 050 12 11 060 n 60 WIND SPD (KN) GUST (KN) ŌĞ

 TIME (IN HOURS CST)

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24

LATITUDE 28051\*N LONGITUDE 96055\*W ELEVATION 104FT

VICTORIA TX

WACO TX

1

2

3

4

5 6

LATITUDE 31•37•N LONGITUDE 97•13•W ELEVATION 500FT

7

8

#### TIME (IN HOURS CST)

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

DATE AUGUST 8TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1015.7 1015.4 1015.7 1016.2 1016.5 1015.5 1013.9 1012.7 1011.5 1011.5 1012.5 1012.8 1015.7 1015.7 1015.9 1016.5 1015.8 1014.8 1013.6 1011.7 1011.2 1012.1 1012.8 1012 WIND DIR(DEG) 140 160 220 000 000 360 020 060 050 070 080 130 110 140 140 140 140 140 120 110 100 140 000 WIND SPD (KN) 06 08 04 00 00 360 020 060 050 070 080 130 110 140 140 140 140 140 120 105 05 05 07 00

 DATE
 AUGUST 9TH, 1980

 PRESSURE(MB) 1012.4
 1012.4
 1012.4
 1012.4
 1012.4
 1012.4
 1013.3
 1013.3
 1011.5
 1010.2
 1009.8
 1009.5
 1011.0
 1010.6

 1012.4
 1012.4
 1012.4
 1012.4
 1013.8
 1013.3
 1012.0
 1010.9
 1009.8
 1009.5
 1009.8
 1011.0
 1011.0
 1011.0

 WIND DIR(DEG)
 180
 000
 000
 000
 04
 010
 04
 110
 110
 130
 060
 110
 100
 120
 120
 110
 120
 120
 110
 120
 120
 130
 104
 104
 04
 04

 WIND DIR(DEG)
 180
 000
 000
 000
 04
 05
 04
 11
 09
 12
 10
 11
 13
 06
 13
 14
 10
 10
 160
 150
 164
 04
 04
 04

 WIND DIR(DEG)
 105
 00
 00
 00
 05
 04
 11
 09
 12
 10
 11
 13
 16</td

DATE AUGUST 10TH, 1980 PRESSURE(MB) 1010.6 1010.1 1010.1 1011.2 1011.9 1012.5 1011.5 1010.8 1010.8 1010.5 1010.8 1011.5 1010.1 1010.1 1010.5 1011.5 1012.1 1012.1 1010.8 1010.8 1010.5 1010.5 1011.5 1011 WIND DIR(DEG) 140 130 100 090 050 090 080 120 140 130 150 160 140 150 170 170 150 150 130 120 130 130 130 WIND SUBJECT (KN) 08 08 06 05 04 05 05 11 12 12 13 13 09 10 14 12 11 08 09 09 06 05 04 03
TIME	BROWNSV	ILLE	TIME	CORPUS CHRISTI
(CST)	LAT	LONG	(CST)	LAT LONG
AUGUST	8TH. 1980			
2010 2105 2203 2203 22310 2330	24 35 24 368 24 333 24 45 24 45 24 51	93 20 93 31 93 41 93 50 93 55 93 59 94 06	2000 2100 2130 2200 2230 2300 2330	
AUGUST	9TH			
553565500000000000000000000000000000000	238 47 0465683801346830 7236781447898001368289246 244455555555555555555555555555555555	94444445555555555555555555555555555555	50000000000003340204102525345530854520652500000 1112233445556677888990001112233445556667788999001112233 111111111111111111111111111111	85858554         99955555         999555555         999555555         999555555         999555555         999555555         9995556555         9995556555         999555655555         999555655555         9995556555555         99955565555555555555555555555555555555
AUGUST	10TH			
3 30	26 12	97 15	35	26 05 97 12 26 16 97 15
103 132 202 230	26 14 26 17 26 22 26 27	97 18 97 22 97 28 97 32	56 135 159 235	26         16         97         15           26         15         97         25           26         20         97         29           26         22         97         29

TABLE A.2 -- RADAR LYE POSITION REPORTED BY NWS STATIONS

DATE/TIME (CST)	STORM CENTER LAT LONG	SEA LEVEL PRESSURE (MB)	FLT.LVL WIND (KN)	EYE DIAMETER (N•MI)	REMARKS
7 1925 19245 19245 1205 10	125       1402043012523         1455       140204301252         1551       16020122430125555566666000         1551       1602051724999999999999999999999999999999999999	57599460 945699750 99460 9950 9950 9950 9950 995997 9914 9911 991 991 991 991 991 991 991 9	$\begin{array}{c} 105\\ 768\\ 81\\ 820\\ 112\\ 10\\ 1205\\ 1\\ 90334432281305308\\ 99999999987600\\ 9999999987600\\ 807600\\ 600\\ 6000\\ 6$	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	WIND 160/64KN 30N.MI FROM CENTER WALL CLOUD 15N.MI THICK CLOUDS FILL THE EYE MAX WIND 80KN 2ND MAX 60 NMI FROM CENTER MAX WIND 95KN 10NMI FROM CENTER HURRICANE WINDS EXTEND 150NMI DUE NORTH EYE OVER LAND EYE OVER LAND EYE OVER LAND EYE OVER LAND
10 40	26 07 97 1	7** 7**	80		CLOUDS FILLING EYE

TABLE A.3 -- PERTINENT DATA EXTRACTED FROM RECONNAISANCE FLIGHT REPORTS

\*\* STORM CENTER FIXED BY RADAR

66

TABLE A.4

	SEA	LEVFL	PRESSURE	AND	WIND	DATA	FROM	SHIP	REPORTS
--	-----	-------	----------	-----	------	------	------	------	---------

LAT	LONG	WIND DIR	SPD	PRESSURE (MB)
1800CST	7TH AUGU	ST		
026840 43150428 22886643654 22284554 22284554	8953 113422 9900350899998888 886	190 0500 0500 0500 0500 0470 0770 080	252 110 1208 1200 304 74	1006.1 1014.82 1015.8 1013.55 1013.92 10015.22 10010.4 1006.4
2100CST	7TH AUGU	ST		
25 48 25 54 26 24 236 42 28 42 28 42 28 18	85 1 89 42 93 42 90 54 87 54 95 6	090 070 020 070 050 050	369 195 299 254 204	1011.4 1009.6 1013.3 1003.8 1011.2 1014.9 1013.0
0000CST	8TH AUGU	ST		
26 0 229 255 246 249 255 246 246 246 246 246 246 246 246 246 246	93 30 91 2 0 88 42 85 12 95 12 95 42 95 42	080 360 080 080 120 080 070 100	19 30 133 336 17 02 13	1012.4 1000.8 1015.1 1007.1 1010.2 1013.9 1014.2 1013.9
0300CST	8TH AUGU	ST		
2550 2642 2654 2654 26724 2784 28	89 42 93 30 91 36 92 18 95 18	100 070 330 060 110 060	35 21 28 14 40 02	1004.0 1010.3 0997.1 1011.9 1009.8 1013.1
0600CST	8TH AUGU	ST		
26 0 23 12	93 30 92 54	060 060	19 25	1010.1 1011.9

LAT	LONG	WIND DIR	SPD	PRESSURE (MB)
0 / 0 0 0 0 T				
0600051	BIH AUGU	ST		
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	904 94 93 94 93 94 93 94 95 95 95 92 94 91 91	300 300 110 130 050 060 090	340 527 452 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 5	0996.3 1005.1 1006.5 1013.0 1003.2 1010.7 1009.5 1011.9 1016.3 1019.5 1011.9 1015.3 1019.5 1012.2
0900CST	8TH AUGU	ST		
25 54 26 0 27 24 28 42	89 42 93 30 94 12 95 18	120 050 030 010	37 29 31 02	1004.3 1009.8 1010.5 1013.9
1200CST	ATH AUGU	ST		
064480 35548088248004486 22222222222222222222222222222222222	99998888899999999999999999999999999999	0670 22930 15200 0580 0580 090 090 090 090 090 090	232423209204804607 1042744343	1008.9 1005.0 1005.0 1004.9 1013.2 1014.9 1015.2 1015.2 1015.2 1015.2 1008.5 1008.5 1005.0 1005.0 1005.0 1005.5 1005.5 1005.5 1009.5 1009.5
1500CST	ATH AUGU	ST		
27 36 27 30 27 30 28 42 25 54	91 12 93 30 92 30 95 18 89 42	080 070 360 030 140	36 35 74 05 35	1011.0 1007.9 1007.1 1011.4 1005.2

SEA LE	VFL PRESSUR	E AND	WIND	DATA	FROM	SHI
LAT	LONG	WIND DIR	SPD	PRE	SSURE	-
1500CS	T 8TH AUGU	ST				
25 48 26 0 21 30 22 0	86 6 93 30 92 54 93 12	110 070 270 270	18 31 36 30	10: 10: 09: 09:	13.0 07.1 99.9 99.7	
1800CS	T 8TH AUGU	ST				
22 18 21 36	91 12 93 6	200	30 25	100	04.0	
29 24 28 54	87 24 87 18	110 120	09 15	101	11.4	
20 04 22 24 24 42	89 42 85 24 87 0	150 090 130	05 17	101	11.0	
26 36 26 36	86 6 96 36	100	15	101	14.6	
28 42 27 30	95 18 92 24	050 060	02 40	101	10.7	
27 42 28 6 22 6	92 54 91 12 95 10	080 130	30 21	100	15.1	
	89 47	100	ker da	-0.		
2100CS	T ATH AUGU	ST				
26 0 25 54	93 30 89 42	090 150	33 31	100	)5.9 )7.8	
21 48 25 54 27 30	92 54 96 24 96 6	240 350 040	23 45 40	100	12.2 13.0 15.8	
27 36 27 48	91 42 90 30	080 100	40	100	18.5	
28 42	95 18	040	02	101	LUęŲ	
0000cs	T 9TH AUGU	ST				
$\begin{array}{ccc} 25 & 30 \\ 26 & 0 \end{array}$	85 48 93 30	110 100	16 37	101	16.3	
21 54 27 0 25 54	92 48 89 30 89 42	210 130 150	30 37 29	100 101 101	12.0 10.7	
28 42 28 0	95 1A 90 6	040 100	02 30	100 101	)8.4 L1.4	

SEA LEVI	TABLE FL PRFSSUR	E AND	WIND D	INUED ATA FROM	SHIP	RE
LAT	LONG	WIND DIR	SPD	PRESSURE (MB)	97 - 77	
0300CST	9TH AUGU	ST				
25 54 26 50 21 54 28 42 28 42 28 42 28 42	89 42 93 30 92 30 89 42 95 18 92 12	160 100 170 120 040 110	29 350 300 25 302 350 350 350 35	1008.2 1004.2 1003.0 1011.2 1006.8 0998.6		
0600CST	9TH AUGU	ST				
2782546566666662278710 278254656666662278710	8892053986676856515056 8892053986676856515056 88888888888888888888888888888888888	12000000000000000000000000000000000000	3240555370807559022788 1312100302788	10110 10120 10120 100112 100112 100195 100125 101125 101125 101125 100025 10002		
0900CST	9TH AUGU	ST				
2554 2640 2650 2618 2832 2832 2842 2842	89 42 89 12 89 48 93 30 89 18 91 30 91 30 95 18	170 1140 1200 1200 050	23 16 21 33 24 32 02	1011.8 1013.0 1014.2 1007.2 1014.5 1010.2 1006.8		
1200CST	9TH AUGU	ST				
26 0 25 54 27 6 26 36 26 36 29 12	93 30 89 42 89 42 89 48 89 48 89 18	110 150 120 120 130 110	31 21 17 20 06 13	1007.5 1011.7 1013.5 1011.9 1015.8 1020.0		

PORTS

SEA	A LEVI	FL	PRFSSUR	E AN	IN	WIND	DAT	A	FROM	SHIP	REP
LAI	r	LO	NG	WIN DIF	5 1 D	SPD	Ρ	RE (	SSUR( MB)	ada a ar	
120	DOCST	9	TH AUGU	ST							
2335 225 227 227 277 277	122620844 43155	91 87 95 91 92 92	484 2548 122 120 120 12	150 140 130 120 130 130	) ) ) )	34 044 122 320 343	11111111	00 01 00 01 00 01 00	6027400 0027400 000 000 000		
150	OCST	9	TH AUGU	S T							
2222222	54 24 302 154 42	893 999 999 999 995	42 3 0 3 5 4 3 5 4 3 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 5 5	150 160 150 120 110		19 31 40 34 24 40 02	1101111	01 00 99 00 00 00	0.435.154.3		
180	DOCST	9	TH AUGUS	ST							
222657734344	08446426448 5555426448	990988618666	300 3362 1188 1188 1866 366	120 130 140 090 120 120 120 120		292 329 125 329 15 15 15	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	001 001 001 001 000 001 000 01	72193008385		
28 27 27	42 24 42	95 91 94	1A 1A 30	060 120 090	)	02 32 40	1 1 1	000000	6 1 8 2 2 0		
210	DOCST	9	TH AUGUS	ST							
25 227 227 228	54 12 12 12 42	89 90 91 95	42 30 48 12 18	140 120 140 120 120	) ) )	19 31 29 18 31 02	1 1 1 1 1 1	01 00 01 01 00	0.7 7.7 0.0 0.8 6.9 6.8		

SEA LEVI	EL PRESSURE	E AND	WIND	DATA PRU	SHIP
LAT	LONG	WIND DIR	SPD	PRESSUI (MB)	RE
0000CST	10TH AUGUS	ST			
27 0 226 54 252 554 225 253 54 225 24 225 24 225 24 225 24 225 24 225 24 225 24 24 225 24 24 225 24 24 225 24 24 225 24 24 225 24 24 225 24 24 225 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	90 6 93 30 89 42 86 42 95 36 91 36	120 110 140 080 130 070 130	21 27 17 18 02 30	1010.1 1008.5 1010.6 1011.5 1010.6 1006.5 1012.9	
0300CST	10TH AUGU	s T			
26 0 25 54 28 42	93 30 89 42 95 1A	120 150 080	29 17 02	1007.4 1009.8 1006.0	
0600CST	10TH AUGU	ST			
542606668424004 22222222222222222222222222222222	89583420 958834500 958334300 883450 88765324 888999855 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 8	150 110 1200 1400 1300 1300 1300 1200 1200 1200	179 2253 1120025749	1010.4 1011.9 1008.0 1004.4 1011.3 1012.0 1012.0 1011.1 1010.2 1006.8 1008.5 1009.5	
0900CST	10TH AUGUS	sT	-		
25 54 26 18 26 30 28 42	89 42 87 44 93 30 94 36 95 18	150 130 130 120 090	17 17 25 02	1011.3 1012.1 1010.0 1005.1 1008.5	
1200CST	10TH AUGUS	ST			
26 0 26 48 26 30 25 54	93 30 93 0 95 0 89 42	130 150 120 140	19 27 20 14	1010.5 1011.0 1005.8 1011.9	

TABLE A.4 CONTINUED SEA LEVFL PRESSURE AND WIND DATA FROM SHIP REPORTS								
LAT	LONG	WIND DIR	SPD	PRESSURE (MB)				
1200CST	10TH AUGU	ST						
24 24 23 18 28 42 27 36 27 36 21 42	85 30 86 18 95 42 93 36 90 30 85 30	100 110 090 150 120 140	10 10 02 30 16 15	1012.0 1012.1 1009.1 1013.3 1008.2 1013.2 1010.0				
1500CST	10TH AUGU	ST						
25 54 26 0 26 54 28 42	89 42 93 30 94 42 95 18	120 130 100 100	12 19 24 02	1010.6 1010.1 1007.1 1009.2				

## (Continued from inside front cover)

- NWS 16 Storm Tide Frequencies on the South Carolina Coast. Vance A. Myers, June 1975, 79 p. (COM-75-11335)
- NWS 17 Estimation of Hurricane Storm Surge in Apalachicola Bay, Florida. James E. Overland, June 1975. 66 p. (COM-75-11332)
- NWS 18 Joint Probability Method of Tide Frequency Analysis Applied to Apalachicola Bay and St. George Sound, Florida. Francis P. Ho and Vance A. Myers, November 1975, 43 p. (PB-251123)
- NWS 19 A Point Energy and Mass Balance Model of a Snow Cover. Eric A. Anderson, February 1976, 150 p. (PB-254653)
- NWS 20 Precipitable Water Over the United States, Volume 1: Monthly Means. George A. Lott, November 1976, 173 p. (PB-264219)
- NWS 20 Precipitable Water Over the United States, Volume II: Semimonthly Maxima. Francis P. Ho and John T. Riedel, July 1979, 359 p. (PB-300870)
- NWS 21 Interduration Precipitation Relations for Storms Southeast States. Ralph H. Frederick, March 1979, 66 p. (PB-297192)
- NWS 22 The Nested Grid Model. Norman A. Phillips, April 1979, 89 p. (PB-299046)
- NWS 23 Meteorological Criteria for Standard Project Hurricane and Probable Maximum Hurricane and Probable Maximum Hurricane Windfields, Gulf and East Coasts of the United States. Richard W. Schwerdt, Francis P. Ho, and Roger R. Watkins, September 1979, 348 p. (PB-80 117997)
- NWS 24 A Methodology for Point-to-Area Rainfall Frequency Ratios. Vance A. Myers and Raymond M. Zehr, February 1980, 180 p. (PB80 180102)
- NWS 25 Comparison of Generalized Estimates of Probable Maximum Precipitation With Greatest Observed Rainfalls. John T. Riedel and Louis C. Schreiner, March 1980, 75 p. (PB80 191463)
- NWS 26 Frequency and Motion of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones. Charles J. Neumann and Michael J. Pryslak, March 1981, 64 p. (PB81 247256)
- NWS 27 Interduration Precipitation Relations for Storms--Western United States. Ralph H. Frederick, John F. Miller, Francis P. Richards, and Richard W. Schwerdt, September 1981, 158 p. (PB82 230517)
- NWS 28 GEM: A Statistical Weather Forecasting Procedure. Robert G. Miller, November 1981, 103 p.
- NWS 29 Analyses of Elements of the Marine Environment for the Atlantic Remote Sensing Land Ocean Experiment (ARSLOE)--An Atlas for October 22 Through October 27, 1980. Lawrence D. Burroughs, May 1982, 116 p. (PB82 251281)
- NWS 30 The NMC Spectral Model. Joseph G. Sela, May 1982, 38 p. (PB83 115113)
- NWS 31 A Monthly Averaged Climatology of Sea Surface Temperature. Richard W. Reynolds, June 1982, 37 p. (PB83 115469)
- NWS 32 Pertinent Meteorological and Hurricane Tide Data for Hurricane Carla. Francis P. Ho and John F. Miller, August 1982, 111 p. (PB83 118240)
- NWS 33 Evaporation Atlas for the Contiguous 48 United States. Richard K. Farnsworth, Edwin S. Thompson, and Eugene L. Peck, June 1982, 26 p.
- NWS 34 Mean Monthly, Seasonal, and Annual Pan Evaporation for the United States. Richard K. Farnsworth and Edwin S. Thompson, December 1982, 85 p. (PB83 161729)

## NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 3, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment and to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth.

The major components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

PROFESSIONAL PAPERS—Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.

CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS—Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship.

ATLAS—Presentation of analyzed data generally in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall. chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc. TECHNICAL SERVICE PUBLICATIONS—Reports containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing includes data serials; prediction and outlook periodicals; technical manuals, training papers, planning reports, and information serials; and miscellaneous technical publications.

TECHNICAL REPORTS—Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS—Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.



Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

PUBLICATION SERVICES BRANCH (E/AI13) NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE, DATA, AND INFORMATION SERVICE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Washington, DC 20235

NOAA--S/T 83-109